#### **LIBRARY REVIEW 2010**

#### **REPORT TO COUNCIL, NOVEMBER 2010**

#### A. Introduction

- i. The Library Review was instigated by Council at the end of 2009, initially as a Library Acquisitions Review, to help ensure that the Geological Society's library collections and services continue to be developed over the coming years in a sustainable way. The commitment of Council to the future of the library, shared by the Review Group, is predicated on the belief that the library collections enhanced by the information services which depend on them, the expertise of the staff, and sets of rich supporting metadata constitute a unique, nationally and internationally important resource, which is one of the jewels in the crown of the Society.
- ii. To make the best use of this major asset, development of the library must be sustainable both strategically and financially.
- iii. Strategic drivers for long term development of services and collections include the evolving needs of the Fellowship, and rapid and fundamental changes in the wider library and information services environment. In conducting this review, we have been guided by the Society's overall strategic objectives, in particular:
- maintaining and building a world class geoscience library and map collection;
- pursuing excellence of content and accessibility of the Society's website and other online resources;
- developing and supporting new and existing Specialist Groups;
- collaborating with other specialist bodies in the UK to pursue common aims;
- diversifying income streams;
- protecting and developing existing income streams;
- maintaining and developing an expert and professional staff;
- growing Fellowship and promoting the Society throughout academia and industry.
- iv. The decision to carry out a Library Review was also driven in part by financial considerations, as made clear in the Terms of Reference set for the Review Group by Council (see Appendix 1 for Terms of Reference and membership). Consideration of the Society's broader financial position and priorities is outside the remit of the Review Group. However, as a result of the transparent way in which we have chosen to conduct our review, we are conscious that this report to Council will also be read by a wider audience. With this in mind, it may be helpful to provide some context and briefly to review the library's finances in recent years.
- v. In seeking to deliver against its strategy, the Society is undertaking new activities, and has ambitions to do more. The Society is also obliged to meet significant recurrent building costs associated with our leasehold. Furthermore, while some major income lines have been relatively robust during the recession, others have suffered, and there is

increased recognition that the Society must do more to ensure its continuing financial security. These and other considerations have led to close examination of income and expenditure across all areas of activity, to ensure that income potential is maximised and that resources are deployed as efficiently as possible.

- vi. Library expenditure has risen considerably in recent years. In setting the budget for 2010, Council and its reporting committees were faced with some difficult choices, and agreed a number of savings which it recognised could not be achieved painlessly. Among the options considered was to keep the library acquisitions budget at the actual 2009 expenditure level, rather than to agree the proposed increase. Council recognised that to do this without first investigating in detail how it would be achieved, and what would be the long term effect on the collections, could cause lasting damage to the library collection and services, and endanger delivery of longer term objectives. Instead, it decided to approve the increased expenditure, and to establish a working group to look at acquisitions policy, to assist with strategic decision making about the library and to inform future years' budgets. In starting this work, the Review Group concluded that it was not meaningful to submit a report considering acquisitions in isolation from other aspects of the library. It sought new, broader terms of reference, and these were agreed by Council in June 2010.
- vii. Outturn figures for core direct library expenditure and income in 2005-2009 (and budget figures for 2010) are as follows:

Year	Expenditure (£k)		Income (£k)	
	Acquisitions 1	Staff	Other	
2005	100	165	47 <sup>2</sup>	29
2006	98	163	34	30
2007	95	180	37	31
2008	127	199	60 <sup>3</sup>	30
2009	138	202	35	24
2010 (budget)	157	224	40	27

#### *Notes:*

- 1. Acquisitions include capital expenditure on hard copy journals, books, maps and binding, plus licence costs for electronic journals.
- 2. Includes £19k for electronic cataloguing work. In subsequent years, these were considered special project costs, and are not included here.
- 3. Includes exceptional costs of scanning the Murchison notebooks.
- viii. Beyond noting that direct costs have risen considerably, while direct income (from library services) has remained relatively static, the Review Group did not conduct any

detailed analysis of the library's finances. In particular, we did not attempt to attribute to the library any proportion either of income from Fellowship and Corporate Affiliate subscriptions, or of indirect costs such as overheads and support staff; and we did not review the use of restricted funds for library expenditure, though our understanding is that this is limited in scope. We assume that Council, with the support of Management and Finance Committee (MFC), has these matters under effective control.

ix. We note that there have been two reviews of aspects of the library in the past decade. In 2005, a working group chaired by Bernard Leake focused both on governance and on the scope for digitisation and electronic access projects (leading to completion of the electronic library catalogue and map index, and informing the establishment of the Lyell Collection). In 2000, Magda Czigany, a former Chief Librarian at Imperial College carried out an independent review, which focused on staffing and services. The last comprehensive library review was undertaken in 1985/6.

### B. The external environment

- i. All libraries are different, but there are some common trends and drivers. There is some high quality research providing intelligence on these issues, particularly reports from RIN (Research Information Network). (Michael Jubb, Director of RIN, was interviewed as part of the review process.)
- ii. Technology and its uses are rapidly evolving, and so are the ways people use information and data even the times of day at which people access content are changing. But these changes in behaviour will not be uniform. They will vary considerably with age (though of course this is not to suggest that all people of similar age will behave similarly), with employment sector and industry, and with many other factors.
- iii. Across universities and other institutions, scholarly and specialist libraries are reassessing their roles, and how they can most effectively add value. Increasingly, inhouse catalogues which are made available over the internet are being indexed by Google. As a result, users are more likely to use Google as a catch-all search tool, rather than to use library-specific search facilities in their own right. Some libraries have no hard copy content, and others are moving in that direction. Collaboration across libraries is no longer a luxury it is essential.
- iv. GSL is one of a very small number of world class geoscience libraries. In the UK, BGS and NHM are the most significant other ones. There are fundamental library reviews going on in both, and BGS's library service has recently been restructured as part of a wider NERC initiative. (Internationally, USGS is also considering a fundamental review of library service provision.) Other significant Earth science libraries are at Oxford and Cambridge universities (and possibly others such as Manchester), and at the National Museum of Wales (for maps). The Review Group did not make as much progress as it hoped in identifying such libraries and finding out about their collections. The Society might consider continuing this line of inquiry in taking matters forward.

#### C. What we did

- i. The Library Acquisitions Review Group (known from June 2010 as the Library Review Group) first met in February 2010. Four more meetings were held, and there was further discussion by email throughout the process.
- ii. Much of the work of Review Group has related to the gathering and analysis of information. In February and March, Fellows were invited to complete a questionnaire about the Society's library collections and services, and their own use of library and information services, and around 100 responses were received, mostly from active users of the library. Forms were provided in the library and users were encouraged to record the books and journals they used, so that (necessarily partial) data could be gathered on the use of hard copy materials on site, and these were considered alongside more reliable sets of data relating to electronic usage, physical loans and photocopy requests. The staff were consulted, and several interviews were conducted with individuals from other institutions. (The Review Group identified other potential interviewees, but did not manage to speak with all of them.) Comment from Fellows and other library users was welcomed throughout the process. A Town Hall meeting was convened in October, to allow Fellows to review and comment on emerging conclusions, and an early draft of this report was made available for comment online.
- iii. Selected information gathered during the review is attached:
  - Questionnaire (Appendix 2)
  - Analysis of questionnaire results (Appendix 3)
  - Analysis of 'quick sheets' recording on site book and journal use (note that these data are very partial, capturing perhaps 20% of total usage) (Appendix 4)
  - Analysis of photocopy requests (Appendix 5)
  - Analysis of current library staff time deployment (Appendix 6)
  - Informal note of interview with Michael Jubb (Director, RIN) (Appendix 7)
  - Informal note of discussion with NHM staff (Appendix 8)
  - Informal note of discussion with Helen Pain (Director, Membership Services, Royal Society of Chemistry) (Appendix 9)
  - Specific suggestions arising from consultation during the review process (Appendix 10)

Further data are available on request.

#### D. What we learned

 The services offered, the breadth and depth of the collections, and the expertise and helpfulness of the staff are all highly valued by those who use the library. It is used in very different ways by different users – as library of first choice, as library of last resort,

- and in many other ways (notably as library of 'second resort' users will come to the Geological Society if their home library does not have what they want).
- ii. A number of misconceptions about the review process came to light during the later stages of consultation for example, that it was intended to introduce charges for Fellows' access to the library, or that there was a wish to reduce the total library budget by 20% through cutting journal subscriptions. This report should lay these fears to rest. The Review Group is committed to the continued development of the library, and is confident that Council shares this view.
- iii. It is vital that Council works to carry the confidence of the Fellowship as the recommendations are implemented, is transparent in its decision making, and continues to keep Fellows informed.
- iv. The review process was valuable in developing discussions with NHM and BGS, which are ongoing, building on existing inter-library contact and informal cooperation.
- v. This is a period of transition but the Society cannot afford to wait and see what is the outcome. Doing nothing is not an option.

# E. Principles

- i. The work of the Review Group has been founded on the Society's strategic aims and objectives, rather than simply following what is happening in other libraries. In particular, the varied and changing needs of the Fellowship have driven our discussions and recommendations.
- ii. Fairness has been a guiding principle but agreeing criteria for fairness is not a straightforward matter. For example, should charges be made for a service which is used by a minority, but which is particularly valuable to those who are physically distant from the Society? It could be considered unfair on those individuals to make such a charge or unfair on the majority who do not use the service to spread the cost evenly among all Fellows.
- iii. We have also kept in mind the charitable status of the Society, and the need therefore to provide and demonstrate public benefit. The library does this in many ways, both by supporting the work of Earth scientists who deliver such benefits, and through its custodianship of a nationally important collection.
- iv. The Review Group has drawn a distinction between rare or unique material, which is often old and only exists in hard copy, especially the map collection (a valuable resource for the Society, which we have a duty to safeguard for use by future generations) and new journal material (which is useful and important but can often be obtained through other means). A key question is how we can generate more value from our unique assets rare content, and the skilled library staff. There is a stand-out case for a significant map scanning project (discussed below), which has already attracted considerable support from Council.

- v. A distinction has also been drawn between commercial work (where more value might be generated) and academic or private research. However, there is no proposal to charge for usage by any Fellows (with the exception of offsite access to third party online journals – see below). They can quite legitimately use the library for their own commercial work.
- vi. The Review Group's presumption has been that the range and availability of services should be grown, and that the disadvantage to those who cannot easily visit in person should be minimised. The Society should continue to invest in the library, while recognising that this must be done in a financially sustainable way.

## F. Recommendations of the Library Review Group

#### 1. Finance

The library should not be expected fully to 'pay its way'. It will remain a cost centre, and is worthy of continuing sustainable investment by the Society. It is the source of key membership services, and is a benefit both to the Fellowship and to society at large. The costs of the library are partially offset by income which it generates, and the Review Group believes that there is considerable scope to develop existing and new income streams.

- a. The Society should aim to grow the net amount by which income offsets library expenditure (and therefore the 'bottom line' of the library) relative to the 2011 library budget by at least £35k in 2012, £70k in 2013, and £105k in 2014, through a combination of growing existing and new income lines, and cost savings. The Review Group hopes very much that as much of this as possible can be achieved through income generation, so that difficult cost savings can be minimised, and so that the range and scope of services offered can be grown rather than reduced. It urges Council to develop its strategy accordingly. If savings must be made, every effort should be made to minimise the impact on services and availability of content to Fellows and other users.
- b. Some specific recommendations and suggestions for income generation and cost savings are identified here. The list is not intended to be prescriptive, nor is it exhaustive, but is offered to provide some options for Council, and to stimulate further thinking. Most of these are addressed in more detail in other sections of the report:
  - Identify and sell duplicate books and journals (one-off income an exercise which is already underway).
  - Charge Fellows for off-site online access to third party journals (see 2.b).
  - Charge Corporate Affiliates for library usage over an agreed threshold (see 2.e).

- Actively market chargeable use of the library to commercial users who are neither Fellows nor Corporate Affiliates (see 2.f).
- Capture and sell online scanned electronic map data initially GSL content, and later perhaps others' material. This could be a significant new income source (see section 7).
- If any journal subscriptions are to be cancelled, the Society should focus on those with a high 'cost per usage', i.e. those with a high cost but which are rarely used. Analysis carried out during the review suggests that it would be possible to continue to provide users with pay-per-view (or inter-library loan) access to many of these journals in place of continuing subscriptions, while still saving most of the cost of subscription, minimising the impact on users (see section 4).
- c. The Review Group encourages Council to continue to develop new ideas for novel ways to generate financial value from the library content and services, and the expertise and knowledge of its staff, further to those identified above. Innovative thinking regarding library finances should remain at the heart of the library strategy.
- d. The Society's library and information services should be actively and creatively promoted to new potential markets, outside the existing Fellowship and Corporate Affiliate base, across academia, industry and government. For example, it may be that searches of the Society's own library holdings, or of wider metadata sets, can be marketed to local government in support of searches associated with planning applications. Such novel projects may best be explored in partnership with other organisations more used to these audiences, such as BGS (see section 6, below).
- e. There may be potential for attracting grants to the library as an important national archive, particularly with regard to capital investment in major projects. However, applying for such grants usually involves considerable work, and does not guarantee success. Care should be taken that constraints on income which might otherwise be generated do not outweigh the value of any grants received.
- f. Prices, pricing models and business models for new and existing library products and services should be set by Management and Finance Committee (MFC), the reporting committee of Council responsible for these matters across all areas of GSL activity. In doing so, MFC should seek the advice of the Library Advisory Committee (LAC) and Information Management Committee (IMC).

# 2. Fellowship/Corporate Affiliate access

Some Fellows use the library space, collections and services frequently. Others use it less often, while many do not use it at all. Similarly a few Corporate Affiliates make heavy use of library services, while others use it rarely, if ever. Consequently the cost to the Society, both in staff time and in content costs, primarily reflects the activities of a community of frequent library users which is numerically relatively small, but which is active and valued. The Review Group discussed at length options for different

entitlement and pricing models. In general, the Society has adopted the principle that Fellows are entitled to a standard package of benefits, irrespective of whether they make use of these, rather than charge a reduced fee for a core set of benefits, with a menu of additional chargeable options – though there are exceptions such as additional GSL journals and the Full Book Collection in the Lyell Collection.

- a. Fellows should continue to receive unrestricted access to library services as part of their standard entitlements, with the exception of off-site online access to third party journals (currently made available free of charge).
- b. A modest charge for Fellows' off-site access to third party online journals via the Athens system should be introduced in 2012, increasing to achieve full cost recovery by 2014. At current usage levels and prices, and assuming no further journals are made available in this way, this would work out at less than £50 per subscriber in 2014. Some Fellows are keen for the range of journals available off-site to be increased this should be conditional on growing the number of paying subscribers, so that the service can be kept cost neutral. (For the avoidance of doubt, there is no suggestion that Fellows' entitlement to free online access to a GSL journal of their choice, through the Lyell Collection, be withdrawn.)
- c. Analysis should be done to establish how many students currently use off-site Athens access, with a view to offering it to students free or at low cost if the financial impact is not too great. This would be a significant benefit and a powerful attractor to membership, particularly to those at institutions whose libraries lack key material. Exposure to this valuable service would also encourage them to subscribe to it after graduation. (In connection with this proposal, work is also underway to offer the Full Book Collection within the Lyell Collection free to students, assuming the financial impact is affordable though of course this matter is outside the remit of the Review Group.)
- d. The Review Group considered and rejected the idea that a 'library plus' subscription option should be introduced, which would allow Fellows unrestricted access to the library, with more limited entitlements for those paying only the standard subscription fees. In order to recover a significant proportion of the costs due to Fellows' use of the library, this supplementary fee would have to be set at a level which would be considered unacceptably high by most active users in practice, it is more likely to drive down usage levels than to raise income. Any future proposal to introduce such a charge should be considered only as a last resort, and tested very thoroughly, with extensive consultation of the Fellowship.
- e. Corporate Affiliates should be entitled to use the library up to a defined level, as part of their standard subscription payment. Further use over and above this level should be charged, with a view to full cost recovery for this usage (possibly phased in over three years). The level at which charges are introduced may depend on the size (and therefore affiliateship subscription level) of the company. It might be decided to waive such charges for those companies which already provide a very high level of financial support to the Society through the Corporate Affiliate scheme and in other ways. Similarly, Corporate Affiliates could be encouraged to sponsor

new projects (such as map scanning – see section 7 below), and their library usage costs might then be waived for the period of the project. Evidence gathered during the review suggests that active users of the library from this group recognise the great value they derive for relatively little cost, and the aim should be to establish a pricing model which remains good value for the users while allowing the Society to make the provision of these services financially sustainable. The Development and Fundraising Committee must be involved in agreeing the detail of this proposal. Additional income generated as a result of any changes to the entitlements/charging structure for Corporate Affiliates should be counted as library income.

f. The Review Group suggests that simple enquiries for commercial use might reasonably be charged to non-Fellows at a minimum price of £10, say, for queries which can be resolved within five minutes, with further charges for additional work. It should be possible to price GSL services, drawing on our valuable content, metadata and staff expertise, at rates highly competitive with commercial consultancy services. Further work is required to establish a charging structure which will be supported by the market while generating appropriate levels of income to the Society. As well as applying these charges to Corporate Affiliates whose use of the library passes some threshold, the service could be actively marketed to companies without affiliate status. An enquiry sold in this way might be as simple as a catalogue search, leading to opportunities for further selling. It would also incentivise those who come into contact with the service to join as Fellows or Corporate Affiliates.

# 3. Data gathering

Considerable work was done early in the review process to gather data regarding usage and holdings, and to collate or capture electronically records which were held only on paper, as the Review Group believed it was important for its recommendations to be informed by these data so far as possible (and recognising that they were necessarily partial and not completely accurate – see notes on data files attached as appendices).

- a. Careful attention should be given to continuing collection and analysis of data, especially regarding usage, and this should be reviewed regularly. The 'cost per usage' grid (see Appendix 11) has been developed into a valuable analysis tool, and should be maintained to assist with decision making (see section 4, below, regarding acquisitions).
- b. Monitor as closely as possible in-house usage of journals which have been identified as vulnerable to cancellation, or which have recently been cancelled (it is not considered feasible to do this for all journals).
- c. Develop metrics for usage, cost and value of maps.
- d. Continue discussions with external organisations (other Earth science library holders, scientific societies, and other kindred organisations), building on the useful

- work done during the review process (see notes at Appendices 8 and 9), to gather information relevant to context setting or collaborative work.
- e. It emerged late in the review process that the number of requests for literature searches to be carried out by staff has fallen considerably in recent years, from 76 in 2006 to 18 so far this year. This should be kept under review, and data gathered on the number of searches run by users themselves in the library, which is currently unknown.

## 4. Acquisitions

- a. The 'cost-per-usage' grid should be used as a 'first pass' to identify journals to which our subscription might be discontinued, though it should not be the only factor. A journal might be added to the 'watch list' of titles under active consideration in this regard if its cost-per-usage exceeds the pay-per-view cost (or the Inter-Library Loan cost) by some agreed factor. As noted at 3.b, above, titles on this 'watch list' should be subject to careful monitoring of in-house usage, to ensure that assumptions about overall levels of usage based on electronic data can be challenged.
- b. Other factors when considering whether to discontinue a journal subscription could include:
  - Is it taken by another UK Earth science library?
  - Is it an important title to a particular group of the Fellowship, even if they are numerically small?
  - Is it in an emerging area, or one which is likely to become significant (scientifically, economically, policy)?
- c. To minimise the impact of cancelling journal subscriptions on Fellows and Corporate Affiliates, the Society should consider paying the cost of pay-per-view or Inter-Library Loan access for these users to journals which are judged important but expensive (in terms of cost-per-usage). As noted above, detailed monitoring of usage of these titles should continue for some time after cancellation, and the subscription should be renewed if usage rises to a level to justify this. If this option is pursued, the detail will need to be developed and its feasibility assessed. Some members of the Review Group expressed a preference for simply cancelling journals, without seeking to 'backfill' availability of content.
- d. Policy and practice regarding exchange of GSL journals for others' should be kept under review, but is not seen by the group as a priority area at present. Publications Management Committee (PMC) may wish from time to time to consider whether there are cases in which GSL journals are being disadvantageously swapped for publications of lower value, where a Lyell Collection subscription might otherwise be sold to the organisation in question.

e. A mechanism should be established for soliciting from Fellows proposals for new titles, and for taking forward any such new subscriptions, in light of the changing needs of the Fellowship (see below). This might involve the Specialist Groups, among others.

# 5. The changing needs of the Fellowship

- a. Some of the wider changes in library and information usage are outlined in the introduction to this report. In developing its plans for the Society library, the Review Group urges Council to continue actively thinking about what niche roles can be played by this internationally important collection, and the value which its staff, services and metadata can add to it, in a 'Google world'. It may be necessary, but will not be sufficient, to follow what other libraries are doing.
- b. The consultation undertaken during the review process revealed a wider range of perspectives among Fellows who actively use the library than the Review Group anticipated. In order to continue to meet the needs of the Fellowship, we must bear in mind those for whom ours is the library of first choice, or that of last resort, and others who do not fit this simple characterisation. (We are the library of 'second resort' to many users if they do not find what they want at their home institution, they will come to us next.) Not all Fellows will react in the same way to the changing library and information environment, and we should seek to cater to a wide range of forms of interaction with data as well as to help users adapt to new ways.
- c. Another key driver for development of the library collection, and of information service provision, should be the changing scientific and professional profile of the Fellowship, and the changing nature of Earth science itself. Council already considers such matters in a broader strategic context, and this should be extended to long term planning of library acquisitions and service development. It is not possible reliably to anticipate future needs, but it is not sufficient merely to react to established (and therefore old) information. The Society holds considerable demographic data, results of previous Fellowship questionnaires, and other information, (some of it examined during the review process) which can help to identify trends.
- d. The questionnaire data gathered as part of the review process themselves represent a valuable information source, which should be explored further as detailed plans for the library are developed. While the respondents were primarily active library users, and are therefore not likely to be representative of the Fellowship as a whole, they may be particularly useful in identifying why users come to our library, and what 'market failure' we are satisfying.
- e. The Specialist Groups should be involved in canvassing the views of different sections of the Fellowship, particularly if changes are planned to acquisitions

- policies. In doing this, Council should be cognisant of the differing nature and structure of these groups, and the communities they represent.
- f. Building on current marketing activities, further efforts should be made to inform the Fellowship about current library holdings and services, and to encourage their use.
- g. Any temptation to make small savings on support services such as literature search subscriptions, as a result of changing user behaviour, should be resisted (bearing in mind the relatively modest costs involved). Instead, thought should be given to whether the support services which we purchase need to evolve, and how this can be done to maximise benefit and minimise cost.

# 6. Working with others

The review process has been valuable in building on existing contact between the librarians at the Society, the BGS and the NHM. (It has also stimulated greater interaction between the BGS and NHM librarians.) Both these organisations generously shared what data they have on their library holdings and on usage, and were candid in discussing their own review processes and future library and information service plans. They are keen to work together where appropriate – there appears to be considerable scope to do so in some areas, particularly with regard to scanning library content and making it available electronically, and there is some excitement over the potential to make more of GSL (and possibly NHM) map holdings. Cooperation over retention of hard copy material and continuing journal subscriptions is worth exploring, but this raises considerable difficulties. The Review Group also discussed the possibility of establishing a consortium of specialist Earth science libraries for the purpose of electronic journal subscriptions, and quickly concluded that this would not be viable.

- a. Discussions should continue with the British Geological Survey (BGS) and Natural History Museum (NHM), among senior librarians and at a broader strategic level, to ensure effective decision making and to explore further opportunities for cooperation.
- b. The Society should continue to exchange relevant data with BGS and NHM, particularly regarding disposals and decisions to discontinue journal subscriptions, to minimise the likelihood of material becoming unavailable through any of these libraries. However, it should be recognised that it will not be practical to tie another organisation to continuing a subscription in perpetuity. Any decision made on the basis of such considerations should also take into account what access GSL Fellows would have to BGS or NHM owned content, and any expectations of reciprocal access to our unique holdings. In practice, any arrangement reached will not guarantee continuity of access for Fellows to material not owned by the Society.
- c. We should explore scope for formal collaboration regarding particular projects and services with BGS and NHM. The highest priority should be a map scanning and electronic delivery project (see section 7, below). There may be further possible

areas of content capture and information service delivery where there is a good fit between the respective organisations' content and capabilities. In general, the Society should not seek to be at the 'cutting edge' regarding information service delivery technologies, for example, but should work with others where appropriate, and capitalise on its own strengths regarding content, metadata and expertise.

- d. Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs) with BGS and NHM should be established (or updated) to formalise contact between librarians and others, data exchange, and any further collaboration. Council should aim to establish initial or amended MOUs with both organisations by the end of June 2011. These should be reviewed periodically thereafter, and developed as collaborative projects are taken forward.
- e. We should continue to learn from other organisations with significant Earth science libraries (such as some universities), or with comparable library and publishing functions (RSC, IOM3, etc), some of which have been consulted during this review.

# 7. Maps

Scanning selected maps from the Society's collection is a high priority, for two main reasons:

- It will secure unique and rare content, which is highly vulnerable while it remains in hard copy only.
- It may result in an additional income stream possibly a significant one.
- a. The Society should build on pilot scale work already underway, auditing and scanning its own important, unique and rare material as a priority (where this is not prevented by copyright restrictions). This is sufficiently important that Council should consider funding the project from reserves, if sponsorship cannot quickly be secured.
- b. We should explore means by which scanned GSL content might be sold, and establish a business and pricing model.
- c. Work should be done to explore whether there is a business opportunity in scanning, cataloguing and making available maps belonging to others (particularly the NHM).
- d. It may be possible to partner with BGS (regarding scanning work and sale of electronic map content) and/or NHM (regarding scanning work, cataloguing and capture/sale of their map collection). Both organisations are keen to explore what scope there is to work together, and there appears to be a good fit between the strengths of all three organisations, bringing the potential to do more together than we can independently, to the benefit of all. (See informal notes of a discussion with NHM staff at Appendix 8 for more about possible models for collaborative working.)

e. It is important to ensure that all digitised library content is effectively secured in the long term, and will not be lost due to software or media obsolescence, or data loss. This is already actively and effectively managed by the Publishing House with regard to our own published content, which might provide a model for practices regarding library content.

#### 8. Archives

Much archival content is being kept in sub-optimal conditions in Burlington House. The historical and corporate significance of this material is likely to vary. The Archivist has only been in post for a few months, following a period during which the Society did not have a dedicated staff member in this role, and she needs some time to audit what we have and identify options for action.

- a. Cataloguing this material is a high priority. (The 2011 budget includes provision for the purchase of a suitable cataloguing system.)
- b. Options for various classes of material include:
  - Disposal
  - Digital capture
  - Microfiche capture
  - Physical storage in appropriate locations in Burlington House
  - Offsite storage

An archive plan should be drawn up once the material has been catalogued, options priced, and costs and benefits assessed.

# 9. Physical storage space in Burlington House

The constraints on space in Burlington House mean that the current rate of acquisition of hard copy material is not sustainable in the long term (over 10 years, say). Options for addressing this situation include:

- Disposal of significant quantities of existing hard copy material
- Drastically reducing the level of hard copy acquisitions
- Offsite storage
- An audit of storage space and likely future requirements should be carried out. This should be reviewed regularly in light of changing acquisitions and retentions practices.

# 10. Library services and publishing

The Review Group identified connections between library services and academic publishing as increasingly important. There are common trends and synergies between the two sectors. Both are undergoing significant changes in the face of rapidly evolving information technologies, which threaten existing business models and old roles in the complex patterns of mediation between information producers and users. As electronic content becomes available through multiple sources (and particularly if the open access trend continues), both sectors are recognising that they cannot corner the market in terms of content, and are developing new ways in which they can 'add value' – through search technologies, aggregation, metadata, expert knowledge and human capital.

However, there are also significant possible tensions. Libraries will seek to build highly organised repositories, which may become a competitive threat to publishers, and will seek other ways to maximise their leverage over publishers, for example by forming consortia, or by purchasing blocks of pay per view access (while publishers work to protect their journal brands). Conversely, publishers will invest in semantic enrichment of content, potentially undermining the value which libraries deliver to users. These commonalities and potential conflicts of interest present particular opportunities and threats to organisations such as GSL which are both a publisher and a provider of library and information services.

An informal note on the present and future of scientific scholarly publishing, prepared for the Review Group by Neal Marriott (Director of Publishing) is at Appendix 12.

- a. More work needs to be done to understand the implications of this for the Society. The Review Group did not see this as an urgent priority given the limited time available to it, but regards it as an issue of primary importance in the longer run. It recommends that Council keeps a watching brief, and asks IMC to work with PMC and relevant staff to explore possible opportunities, threats and dependencies.
- b. IMC was established with a view to maintaining a close working relationship with PMC. Council may wish in the longer term to consider bringing staff and/or committee management of its library, information services and publishing operation closer together.

# 11. Staffing and skills

a. The service currently provided by the staff to library users is of very high quality, and as borne out by the questionnaire and other elements of the consultation undertaken during the year, it is greatly appreciated. As for all areas of Society activity, the staffing and skills required to address the changing library and information needs of the Fellowship should be reviewed regularly. A basic analysis of current staff time deployment was carried out as part of the review process, to inform discussion of current and future staffing and skills needs, and this is attached at Appendix 6.

- b. There is likely to be scope for continuing to update staff's skills in light of changing needs of the Fellowship and other users, new developments such as some of those recommended here, and the rapidly evolving technological landscape. Appropriate training should be provided to develop and refresh these skills.
- c. Staff members have indicated that they are keen to develop their roles, and they should be supported in doing this, in line with the strategic and business objectives of the Society.
- d. The Review Group has undertaken limited work looking at staffing models in other libraries. In considering future structures and skills needs, further work should be done to examine what arrangements there are in other organisations, particularly scientific societies with comparable libraries such as the Royal Society of Chemistry (who are happy to continue the conversation started during the current process see informal meeting note at Appendix 9), to see what can be learned from their experience.
- e. During the consultation process, it was suggested that volunteers might play a significant role in running the library. It may be possible to use volunteer effort for particular limited purposes, probably for specific projects, but this would need careful consideration within case-by-case project plans. It would not be an effective substitute for any of the work currently undertaken by the professional staff.

# 12. Next steps

- a. Council should develop a library strategy, based on the recommendations of this review.
- b. It should charge IMC with developing a Library Plan to implement this strategy.
- c. In this process LAC should act as the 'voice of the user', providing valuable feedback from the user base to IMC as particular measures are proposed and introduced, and advising on specific decisions regarding acquisitions and disposals.
- d. The Review Group discussed the relationship between the IMC and the LAC more broadly, and considered some of the roles which will fall collectively to these committees in taking forward a Library Plan. The Group was not able to reach any firm conclusion regarding remits and reporting lines for these committees, and suggests that Council review the committee structure in this area. In considering governance of library and information services, the relationship with publishing should not be forgotten (see 10.b above).
- e. Council should continue to keep the Fellowship informed about development plans for the library, to invite comment, and to consult more actively with them when appropriate.

#### LIBRARY REVIEW 2010

#### Terms of reference

The review will be carried out by a working group (formerly the Library Acquisitions Review Group), which is to report emerging findings and recommendations to Council at its meeting in November 2010. The working group is asked to develop and recommend to Council policy proposals regarding any aspect of the library, its management, collections and services, including the following:

- Acquisitions and retention of library content (books, journals, maps and any other types of material), both in electronic form and hard copy, including exchange arrangements
- Storage of materials, preservation of content, and physical space constraints
- Use of the library space
- Relationships with other libraries
- Affordability to the Society of all aspects of library content and services
- Pricing and business models
- Opportunities to develop new library services, or to reconfigure existing ones
- Governance
- Staffing

In considering these matters, the Group should have regard to:

- The changing needs of the Fellowship and others in the Earth science community, with regard to specialism, type of content, delivery media, on-site/off-site access, etc
- The Society's role as custodian of a nationally and internationally important collection

#### Membership

Chair: Peter Styles

Others: Richard Hughes (Chair, IMC)

Tom Sharpe (Chair, LAC) Andy Fleet (Treasurer)

Jonathan Turner (Publications Secretary)

Peter Dolan Hugh Torrens Alex Whittaker

Staff: Nic Bilham (Secretary to the working group)

Edmund Nickless (Executive Secretary)

Sheila Meredith (Chief Librarian, secretary to LAC)

Kholilur Rahman (Secretary to IMC) Neal Marriott (Director of Publishing)

# Library Acquisitions Review 2010: Questionnaire

The Geological Society is carrying out a review of its library acquisitions policy. To help inform this review, we want to find out about the views of our Fellows, Corporate Affiliates and others on our library holdings and services. Whether or not you are a user of the library, we would be grateful if you would help us by completing this questionnaire. An electronic version of the questionnaire is available to fill in online at <a href="www.geolsoc.org.uk/libraryreview">www.geolsoc.org.uk/libraryreview</a>, along with further information about the review we are undertaking. Alternatively, let us know your views by writing to Nic Bilham (<a href="mailto:nic.bilham@geolsoc.org.uk">nic.bilham@geolsoc.org.uk</a>).

We are also currently asking those visiting the library to complete a simple slip indicating books and journals they have used during their visit, to help us gauge use of particular titles.

If you let us know who you are (either by filling in your name and contact details below, or by attaching your business card to the completed questionnaire), we will enter you in a prize draw to be held at the end of March 2010. The winner will be sent a Geological Society Special Publication of his or her choice. (If you prefer to keep your responses anonymous, we will still be pleased to hear your views.)

1.	About membership of the Geological Society (tick one):			
	<ul> <li>□ I am a Fellow (member) of the Geological Society</li> <li>□ I am a Candidate Fellow (student member) of the Geological Society</li> <li>□ I am not a member of the Geological Society</li> </ul>			
2.	About your work (tick all that apply):			
	□ I work for a Corporate Affiliate of the Society			
	□ I work for a commercial company			
	□ I work in academia			
	□ I work for a research institute/government agency (e.g. BGS, Environment Agency)			
☐ I am self-employed/work as a contractor				
	□ I am a student			
	□ I am retired			
	□ Other			
3.	How frequently do you use the Geological Society's library overall (including telephone and online services)? (tick the option which best describes your usage)			
	□ Never □ Occasionally □ A few times a year			
	□ Once or twice a month □ Several times a month or more			

4.	About your overall impression of the Geological Society's library, including the physical library, onsite services, and online and other remote services:					
	a.	How important is access to Geological Society library facilities and services to you? (ticone)				
		□ Very important	☐ Fairly important			
		□ Not very important	□ Not at all important			
	b.	How satisfied are you wit (tick one)	h the facilities and services	you get from the library overall?		
		□ Very satisfied	□ Fairly satisfied	□ Not very satisfied		
		□ Not at all satisfied	□ Not applicable			
	C.	If you use the library, wha	at are the main reasons? If	not, why not?		
5.		tell us about your visits to		ington House:		
	a.	Frequency of visits (tick or	, ,	A C		
		□ Never □ Once or twice a month	<ul><li>□ Occasionally</li><li>□ Several times a montl</li></ul>	□ A few times a year		
		donce of twice a monun	Several times a monu	tor more		
	b.	When visiting the library in person, do you find what you are looking for? (tick one)				
		□ Always	☐ Usually	□ Rarely		
		□ Never	□ Not applicable	·		
	c.	When visiting the library in person, do you ask library staff to assist you? (tick one)				
		□ Always	□ Usually	□ Rarely		
		□ Never	□ Not applicable			
	d.	Are you satisfied with the support provided by library staff? (tick one)				
		□ Very satisfied	□ Fairly satisfied	□ Not very satisfied		
		□ Not at all satisfied	□ Not applicable	·		
	e.	Which of the following facilities do you use when visiting the library? (tick all that apply)				
		☐ Library desktop comput	•			
		☐ Literature search facilitie				
			r your own laptop compute	er)		
		□ The Fellows Lounge		•		
		□ The Lyell Room				

6.	Pleas	e tell us	about your use of the following	g library collections and services:		
	a. Books		S			
		i.	How frequently do you use th	ne book collection? (tick one)		
			□ Very frequently	□ Quite frequently		
			□ Occasionally	□ Never		
		ii.	How important is the book co	llection to you? (tick one)		
			□ Very important	□ Quite important		
			□ Not very important	□ Not at all important		
	b. Printed journals					
		i.	How frequently do you use th	ne library's printed journals? (tick one)		
			□ Very frequently	□ Quite frequently		
			□ Occasionally	□ Never		
		ii.	How important is the printed	journal collection to you? (tick one)		
			□ Very important	□ Quite important		
			□ Not very important	□ Not at all important		
	c.	Electi	tronic journals (onsite access)			
		i.	How frequently do you use electronic journals at the Geological Society (tick one)			
			□ Very frequently	□ Quite frequently		
			□ Occasionally	□ Never		
		ii.	nic journal collection to you? (tick one)			
			□ Very important	□ Quite important		
			□ Not very important	□ Not at all important		
d. Electronic journals (remote access for Fellows)				Fellows)		
	i. An increasing number of the journals to which the Society subsciaccessed remotely by Fellows – that is, from their work or home					
			login'). How frequently do yo (tick one)	ou access electronic journals remotely in this way?		
			□ Very frequently	☐ Quite frequently		
			□ Occasionally	□ Never		
		ii.	How important is the remote	access facility to you? (tick one)		
			□ Very important	□ Quite important		
			□ Not very important	□ Not at all important		

	i.	How frequently do you use the	e maps? (tick one)
		□ Very frequently	□ Quite frequently
		□ Occasionally	□ Never
	ii.	How important is the map coll	ection to you? (tick one)
		□ Very important	□ Quite important
		□ Not very important	□ Not at all important
f.	Arcl	nive materials	
	i.	How frequently do you use the	e Society's Archive materials? (tick one)
		□ Very frequently	□ Quite frequently
		□ Occasionally	□ Never
	ii.	How important is the Society's	Archive to you? (tick one)
		□ Very important	□ Quite important
		□ Not very important	□ Not at all important
g.	Onli	ne library catalogue (on-site or rer	notely)
	i.	How frequently do you use the	e online library catalogue? (tick one)
		□ Very frequently	□ Quite frequently
		□ Occasionally	□ Never
	ii.	How important is the online lib	orary catalogue to you? (tick one)
		□ Very important	□ Quite important
		□ Not very important	□ Not at all important
h.	Onli	ine Map GIS (visually browsable o	nline interface to map catalogue and index data
	i.	How frequently do you use the	e Map GIS? (tick one)
		□ Very frequently	□ Quite frequently
		□ Occasionally	□ Never
	ii.	How important is the Map GIS	to you? (tick one)
		□ Very important	□ Quite important
		□ Not very important	□ Not at all important

Maps

e.

	i.	How frequently do you bo	How frequently do you borrow material from the library? (tick one)	
		□ Very frequently	□ Quite frequently	
		□ Occasionally	□ Never	
	ii.	How important is the loan	s service to you? (tick one)	
		□ Very important	□ Quite important	
		□ Not very important	□ Not at all important	
	j. Do	ocument delivery / photocopyir	ng	
	i.	How frequently do you us Geological Society? (tick or	e document delivery or photocopying via the	
		□ Very frequently	☐ Quite frequently	
		□ Occasionally	□ Never	
	ii.	How important are these s	ervices to you? (tick one)	
		□ Very important	□ Quite important	
		□ Not very important	□ Not at all important	
	k. Inter-library loans			
	i.	How frequently do you us one)	e inter-library loans via the Geological Society? (tick	
		□ Very frequently	□ Quite frequently	
		□ Occasionally	□ Never	
	ii.	How important is the inter	-library loan service to you? (tick one)	
		□ Very important	□ Quite important	
		□ Not very important	□ Not at all important	
7	XA71 1 - 11			
7.	vvnat otne	er library and information source	es do you use? (tick all that apply)	
<ul> <li>□ University</li> <li>□ Other learned society/professional body</li> <li>□ Research centre/government agency (e.g. BGS, Environment Agency)</li> <li>□ Other (please state)</li> </ul>			•	
= cutof (produce suite)				

Printed book / journal / map loans from the library

i.

8.	information sources? (tick the option which best describes your usage)			
	☐ I routinely expect to access including the Geological Sci☐ I usually use other source coverage will be better	es information throuses information throuses, but use the Geole Gociety library as a	library services and research information ugh more than one library/information source, ogical Society library in areas where I think its last resort, when I cannot find material I want wish)	
9.	Which journals do you prin	narily use through	the Society's library? (tick all that apply)	
	☐ Geological Society of Lon☐ Commercial publishers (€		□ Other learned societies □ Other / not applicable	
10.	In general, how do you pre	fer to access book a	nd journal content? (tick one)	
	□ Electronically	□ In print	□ No preference	
11.	improvements would you l	ike to see? Are the	s, or with any aspects of the collection, what re additional library services which you think the make you more likely to use the Society's library	

12.	Recognising that resources cannot be limitless, what should the Society's priorities be with regard to development of the library collection and services? (For example, should it attempt to maintain a reasonable level of coverage across the field, or should it focus on areas which are not well served by other libraries? What responsibilities does the Society have for maintaining a nationally important collection, or for meeting the information needs of its Fellows?)
13.	Please identify any particular journals, collections of historical content, etc, which you think are particularly useful and/or which it is critical for the Society to acquire/retain (for example, because of inadequate coverage by other libraries).
14	Do you have any further comments about the Society's library collections and services?
14.	Do you have any further confinents about the society's notary conections and services:

15.	5. We would be grateful if you would indicate your contact details below – this will help us to analyse responses to this questionnaire effectively. (However, we are also happy to take on board your views anonymously if you prefer.) If you provide contact details, we will enter you		
	in the prize draw (unless you indicate otherwise).		
	Name:		
	Fellowship number (if applicable):		
	Organisation (if applicable):		
	Address:		
	Postcode:		
	Country:		
	Email address:		
	Please tick if you are willing to be contacted to discuss your responses to this questionnaire, and other aspects of the Library Acquisitions Review.		
	□ Please tick if you do <b>NOT</b> want to be entered in the prize draw.		

# Questionnaire results analysis

Overall frequency

Appendix 3

	Total responses	97
Membership	Candidate Fellows	1
	Fellows (CA)	9
	Fellows (non-CA)	81
	Non Fellows (CA)	5
	Unknown	1
Work	Academia (exc retired)	12
	Commercial (exc academics)	41
	Self-employed (exc retired)	14
	Retired	24
	Student	3
	Other/unknown	3

Several times a month

(Note: Many respondents picked multiple categories - retired academics are counted here as 'retired'. Similarly, academics who also do commercial/self-employed work are counted in 'academia'.)

20

	Once or twice a month Few times a year Occasionally Never	25 29 21 2
Importance	Very important Fairly important Not very important Not at all important	73 19 3 2
Satisfaction	Very satisfied Fairly satisfied Not very satisfied Not at all satisfied Not applicable	76 17 1 1 2
BH visits		
Frequency	Several times a month Once or twice a month Few times a year Occasionally Never	5 23 28 34 7
Find what you want?	Always Usually Rarely Never Not applicable/blank	14 75 0 0 8
Do you ask staff?	Always Usually Rarely Never Not applicable/blank	11 55 22 0 9
Satisfied with staff?	Very satisfied	82

	Fairly satisfied Not very satisfied Not at all satisfied Not applicable/blank	7 0 0 8	
Facilities used	PCs Literature search Wifi Fellows Lounge Lyell Room	36 65 19 57 28	
<i>Books</i> Frequency	Very frequently Quite frequently Occasionally Never	22 18 47 8	
Importance	Very important Fairly important Not very important Not at all important	58 29 7 1	
<b>Printed journals</b> Frequency	Very frequently Quite frequently Occasionally Never	28 23 34 10	
Importance	Very important Fairly important Not very important Not at all important	62 23 6 4	
Onsite electronic journals			
Frequency	Very frequently Quite frequently Occasionally Never	7 13 33 41	
Importance	Very important Fairly important Not very important Not at all important	31 22 23 15	
Remote electronic jou	rnals		
Frequency	Very frequently Quite frequently Occasionally Never	17 16 32 30	
Importance	Very important Fairly important Not very important Not at all important	42 22 13 14	
<i>Maps</i> Frequency	Very frequently	11	

	Quite frequently Occasionally Never	14 35 35
Importance	Very important Fairly important Not very important Not at all important	52 14 18 9
Archives		
Frequency	Very frequently Quite frequently Occasionally Never	5 3 36 51
Importance	Very important Fairly important Not very important Not at all important	27 29 26 12
Online catalogue		
Frequency	Very frequently Quite frequently Occasionally Never	15 21 45 16
Importance	Very important Fairly important	45 29
	Not very important Not at all important	12 8
	·	
<i>Map GIS</i> Frequency	Very frequently	3
,	Quite frequently	2
	Occasionally Never	24 65
Importance	Very important	18
	Fairly important Not very important	21 21
	Not at all important	32
Loans		
Frequency	Very frequently	12
	Quite frequently Occasionally	21 37
	Never	26
Importance	Very important	48
	Fairly important Not very important	30 12
	Not at all important	4
Document delivery/ph	otocopying	
Frequency	Very frequently Quite frequently	11 21
	Occasionally	40

	Never	25
Importance	Very important Fairly important Not very important Not at all important	47 26 10 12
Inter-library loans Frequency	Very frequently Quite frequently Occasionally Never	1 4 31 60
Importance	Very important Fairly important Not very important Not at all important	21 24 20 28
Other sources	University Learned Society Research Centre/Agency Other	45 46 34 38
Relative importance	GSL as main source GSL as one among several GSL for selected areas GSL as last resort Other	43 31 13 5 5
Journals used at GSL	GSL Other Societies Commercial Other	54 68 66 18
Elec/print preference	Electronic Print No preference	31 47 19
Willing to discuss	Yes No	68 29

Paper	327
Online	1
Unknown	70

	Books	Journals	Total
Fellows	105	178	283
Candidate Fellows	4	5	9
Corporate Fellows	6	23	29
None of the above	1	13	14
Unknown	9	54	63
Total	125	273	398

# Frequently requested titles (>2)

16
11
9
8
7
7
7
6
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
5
4
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3

Note that in some cases, multiple uses of the title in question were by a single user.

Mean age of journals used 30 years Median age of journals used 11 years

Item count by company			Item count by	y year
	C	orp Affiliate?		
Getech Group	66 Y		1918	1
Senergy Ltd	53 Y		1933	2
BG Group	50 Y		1952	1
IHS	46 N		1955	2
Cambridge Carbonates Ltd	26 N		1956	1
Northern Petroleum	16 N		1957	1
Neftex	15 Y		1958	4
Serica Energy	13 N		1960	2
Tullow Oil	12 Y		1964	1
PA Resources	11 N		1965	1
ENI	9 Y		1966	1
C&C Reservoirs	6 Y		1968	1
Fugro-Robertson	5 Y		1969	1
Geoscience Ltd	5 N		1970	1
Talisman Energy	5 N		1971	2
Geo-Design	4 N		1972	4
PGA Ltd	4 N		1973	2
RPS Energy	4 Y		1974	3
Silverstone Energy Ltd	4 N		1975	2
Statoil	4 Y		1976	1
RDS Ltd	3 N		1977	3
others	20		1978	3
			1979	1
Total	381		1980	4
			1981	6
			1982	7
Frequently requested titles (>2)			1983	4
International Journal of Coal Geology		14	1984	4
Journal of Petroleum Geology		12	1985	5
Sedimentary Geology		12	1986	4
GSL Special Publication		11	1987	4
Marine and Petroleum Geology		11	1988	12
AAPG Bulletin		10	1989	7
Geophys Prosp, EAGE		10	1990	8
Journal of Sedimentary Research		8	1991	12

Mean age of items requested	16 years
Median age of items requested	14 years

Tectonophysics	8	1992	11
AAPG Memoir	7	1993	12
Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists Memoir	7	1994	22
Organic Geochemistry	7	1995	14
APPEA Journal	6	1996	8
Bulletin de la Societe Geologique de France	6	1997	9
Geological Journal	6	1998	9
Geology	6	1999	14
GSA Bulletin	6	2000	16
Petroleum Geoscience	6	2001	10
PGC proceedings	6	2002	10
Sedimentology	6	2003	10
Tectonics	6	2004	10
Journal of Geology	5	2005	17
Terra Nova	5	2006	16
Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology	4	2007	17
Cretaceous Research	4	2008	18
Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta	4	2009	13
International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences	4	2010	1
SEPM Special Publication	4	unknown/NA	26
Basin Research	3		
Earth and Planetary Science Letters	3	Total	381
Facies	3		
First Break	3		
Generation, Accumulation and Production of Europe's Hydrocarbo	3		
GSL Memoir	3		
Journal of Structural Geology	3		
Journal of the Geological Society	3		
Marine Geophysical Researches	3		
South African Journal of Geology	3		
Thrust Belts and Foreland Basins: From Fold Kinematics to Hydroca	3		

Note that some requests include multiple items from the same journal - so for example, the 14 items requested from the International Journal of Coal Geology are from only 2 requests (one each from BG Group and IHS).

# Analysis of private research photocopy request forms

#### Frequently requested titles (>2) Nature 8 Proceedings of Geologists Association 6 Geological Magazine 5 Earth & Planetary Science 4 Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geol Soc 4 AAPG Bull 3 Bull Acad Serbe Sci et Arts 3 Cretaceous Research 3 Geology 3 Micropaleontology 3 **SEPM Special Publication** 3 Terra Nova 3 Transactions of Royal Society of Edinburgh 3

1794	1
1815	1
1902	1
1905	1
1906	1
1907	1
1910	1
1915	1
1921	1
1926	1
1927	1
1931	1
1932	1
1933	1
1934	1
1937	1
1941	1
1945	2
1947	1
1953	1
1955	2
1956	2
1957	1
1958	1
1959	1
1962	1
1963	3
1965	2
1967	2
1968	1
1969	1
1970	0
1971	3
1972	3
1973	3

Item count by year

Mean age of items requested	27 years
Median age of items requested	17 years

1974	1
1975	1
1976	3
1977	4
1978	4
1979	1
1980	0
1981	3
1982	2
1983	2
1984	2
1985	3
1986	2
1987	1
1988	3
1989	3
1990	4
1991	2
1992	4
1993	5
1994	9
1995	2
1996	2
1997	4
1998	4
1999	6
2000	7
2001	4
2002	7
2003	5
2004	4
2005	3
2006	4
2007	7
2008	14
2009	9
unknown/NA	6

Total 194

LIBRARY REVIEW - ESTIMATED ALLOCATION OF STAFF TIME

Appendix 6

Appendix 6

Total FTE 0.30 0.10 0.10 0.71 1.10 0.57 0.20 0.10 0.24 0.35 0.30 0.01 0.12 0.15 0.10 0.15 0.08 0.30 0.16 0.10 0.11 0.05 0.27 0.20 0.03 5.90

	Serials &					Library	Library	
ACTIVITIES	Chief Librarian	Assistant Librarian	Info. Librarian	Map Librarian	Library Assistant	Assistant (P/T)	Archivist (P/T)	
Library administration/management	30%							
Staff management	10%							
Staff training	5%	5%						
Cataloguing	30%	25%	1%	15%				
Enquiries (inc. loans)	5%	20%	21%	30%	30%		4%	
Research (inc. literature searches)	5%	30%	5%	5%			12%	
Loans system maintenance (filing, overdues, etc.)				5%	15%			
Inter Library Loans		10%						
Archives management							24%	
Serials collection management			35%					
Electronic journals administration			30%					
Management of exchange agreements			1%					
Maintenance of map collection				12%				
Maintaining map indexes				15%				
Map scanning				10%				
Managing library accounts					15%			
Binding					8%			
Photocopying/document delivery & related duties						30%		
General administrative duties		3%		3%	5%	5%		
Processing & repairing books						10%		
Shelving & shelf tidying				5%	1%	5%		
Library Management System maintenance (C2)	5%							
Marketing	5%	5%	5%		12%			
Special projects	5%	2%	1%		12%			
Book/periodical sales			1%		2%			
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	50%	40%	

#### **Explanatory Notes:**

Cataloguing involves cataloguing books, maps, serials and thematic issues of journals, subject indexing, classification, checking retrospectively catalogued books from the Bicentenary Project as well as maintaining the subject thesaurus and authority files.

Managing Library Accounts includes maintaining daily accounts, recording cash, cheques, BACS payments, paying into the Accounts department and chasing unpaid invoices.

Marketing includes production of the Recent Additions list, maintaining currency of library information leaflets, updating Library webpages, promoting e-journals, arranging displays of archives & rare books, providing copy for Geoscientist and the e-newsletter, and attending external meetings to raise the Society's profile.

Special projects include Sponsor a book scheme, transferring manual serial records into spreadsheet format, Murchison notebooks scanning project, organising the Society's open day for Open House London and compiling statistical information for the Library Review.

General administrative duties include maintaining daily library statistics, sorting post, franking outgoing library mail, barcoding (books, maps & series), enquiry desk routines (exc. enquiries & loans), loading copycards, etc.

Photocopying/document delivery and shelving/shelf tidying duties are covered by all Library staff during staff absences.

#### MEETING WITH MICHAEL JUBB: 18 MAY 2010

Present: Michael Jubb (Director, RIN)

Nic Bilham (Head of Strategy and External Relations, GSL)

Sheila Meredith (Chief Librarian, GSL)

• GSL should consider what is its niche role in a 'Google world'.

- What is the value of Fellowship? 50 years ago, the library was probably a big part of the answer for very many, but what about now?
- RIN focus is on university, higher education and Research Council libraries. Have had some contacts with learned societies, mostly regarding publishing, but also to some extend regarding libraries and 'core learned society activities', because they are interested in information services learned society members want. Learned societies are interesting gateways to communities.
- Michael is not aware of any comparable exercises at a learned society, other than at the RSC, which does not appear to have been a happy experience.
- Academic libraries are thinking hard about library futures. RIN is currently doing a 20 to 40 year view of what the academic library might look like. Relationships between universities and their libraries will have some parallels with learned societies', but won't be the same. But it is important to think about potential complementary roles of these institutions.
- The shift to electronic usage/access: for journals, this is almost complete as far as researchers are concerned. The changes in publishers' practices, and the 'big deals' offered by the likes of Elsevier, have changed expectations. Journal papers are most frequently used and most important to researchers by far, though with some sectoral differences. Visits to the library among academics are very much reduced physically, they are offering work space to students (for individual and group work).
- Issues around this sustainability of big deals under budgetary constraint. Some may get cancelled (because they are taking up more and more too much of the budget). But big deals have reduced cost per title (assuming you want them all), and when you make it available, people will generally use it. Cost per download has also gone down hugely. However, there has been an inexorable rise in costs, associated with an inexorable rise in volume of published content, with the number of journals rising by 3-5% a year. Publishers claim that this is behind the rise in costs that you get more for your money (though there may be costs publishers have borne which they no longer need to).
- Many libraries and publishers are looking at electronic-only. Sheila pointed out that this
  is a problem for us because of document delivery. In providing our photocopying
  service, we are 'undercutting' document delivery by the likes of the British Library –
  thus, it is a paying proposition. Limitations on our licence agreement with the CLA we

- have a limited choice of options, and the electronic one is prohibitively expensive. Can provide electronic copies if specifically needed, from the BL, under Inter-Library Loans.
- Big deals implication of the squeeze on budgets is that there is less left for other stuff (books, journals from smaller publishers). Tough decisions for librarians.
- Access to data as a library service, in the context of open data, linked data, etc some business models currently being mooted may not be sustainable.
- Ratio of staff costs to acquisitions university libraries are under pressure to reduce the proportion spent on staff. Ours (roughly 60:40 staff:acquisitions) may not be unusual at present, but 50:50 or lower is becoming more common, at least as an aspiration. SCONEL (university libraries trade association) publish relevant data. Small specialist organisations/libraries tend to spend more on staff.
- What is the role of the specialist librarian, going forward? All sorts of concerns about disintermediation. Librarians used to be the intermediaries between users and the material. In a 'Google world', people can get direct access. It can appear as though the library doesn't have to 'do anything'. So what is the ongoing need? Part of the answer for many is re development of information skills education and training as to how this world works, at both a technical and conceptual level. QA issues etc.
- Another part of the answer for some a role dealing with non-published stuff, especially research data. As much of a challenge for librarians as for anyone else few have the skills for curation of data. There is a need for reskilling of staff. An opportunity for us to complement what others (e.g. university libraries) are doing? But there are risks too.
- 'Resource discovery' 'portals' now an old-fashioned concept. What is the role of the library? What are OPAC (online library catalogues) for, in the world of Web of Science, Google, etc? How are people actually using the Geological Society's online catalogue? This is a big issue in the academic world aggregation of meta-data, etc. Opportunities for collaboration in this context with NHM, BGS, etc?
- Huge interest across universities in shared services. A big challenge and different challenges for GSL, e.g. with different business models.
- There is no magic bullet. We all know we need to rethink fundamentally, but there is not a clear emerging formula.
- Talk to Debbie Shorley (Imperial College library) who was involved in NHM review.

#### MEETING WITH NATURAL HISTORY MUSEUM STAFF: 10 AUGUST 2010

Present: Graham Higley (Head of Library and Information Services, NHM)

Jane Smith (Head of Library Collections and Services, NHM)

Andy Fleet (Keeper and Head of Mineralogy, NHM; Treasurer, GSL)

Sheila Meredith (Chief Librarian, GSL)

Nic Bilham (Head of Strategy and External Relations, GSL)

- NHM has an offsite storage facility at Wandsworth material can be retrieved at 24 hours notice, and they can track what material is retrieved. There is a photocopy facility there, and maybe soon a scanner too. Not permanently staffed, but NHM staff visit daily. Facility is not ideal, and probably won't last they are looking at possibility of collaboration with Science Museum (and possibly others) about collaboration, possibly expanding Science Museum facility at Swindon.
- Collecting usage data starting from a low base, with actions underway to improve it. Not really able to assess overall usage figures yet. Bar coding being introduced for monographs (not suitable for journals), allowing self-checkout and tracking of usage. Looking at moving materials in/out of storage facility based on frequency of use.
- Have acquired many mixed collections from individuals (e.g. Darwin, Wallace), including specimens, correspondence, books and maps, etc. To a great extend, these are physically stored together.
- Digital asset management system being introduced in next few months but not yet far into librarianship of data/digital objects. Digital objects will be managed by NHM as an element of Biodiversity Heritage Library (BHL).
- Electronic catalogue of almost all the books and journals, except some special collections (described only at the collection level). Electronic records for only c10m of 70m specimens in the main museum collection, using an archive-type system, so all metadata are attached to the object. Tools for manipulating metadata too.
- Scanning station, run by the Internet Archive (associated with BHL) non-destructive and gentle. One person scans 2000+ pages per day. There are other scanning facilities, some quite sophisticated. **NHM is happy to explore how GSL might share in the use of this technology.**
- Looking to join up collections (specimens and library material) across silos. Strategy is to move to a holistic service approach.
- Thinking lots about partnerships. Currently talking a lot with Kew (from coordination of buying, through to managing as a single collection), Science Museum and others in the UK (sharing systems, bases, interoperability), and overseas institutions (e.g. Smithsonian). Keen to talk to GSL, as a possible leading partner in the Earth science sector, about various issues and opportunities.
- Move to electronic content provision in long term, with hard copy in longer term storage
  and accessed much less often. Most access will be self-service for scientists (though not
  necessarily for growing secondary market of artists, historians, etc). Seek to unify
  collections electronically.

- Maps open for almost any level of discussion, with GSL and/or others from giving it to someone else to manage, through to taking on someone else's collection, and all stops in between (joint projects/services, etc). Recognise they have a significant collection/resource, but that it is underused, partly because of lack of cataloguing. It is a good collection, but have no idea what it is 'worth' (in sense of usefulness, more than financially). Collection is almost invisible, so nobody uses it, but hard to justify investment in a resource which is so little used difficult to see how to get out of that. A partner with greater footfall, whose maps are already well used, to act as a more visible 'front of house' for the NHM collection could be an attractive option to break the Catch 22. Some discussion of the popular secondary market in cartography.
- Discussion of copyright issues/restrictions. Don't know how many of their (useful) maps are out of copyright (do we?). May be possible to scan and deliver some maps still in copyright, under the right conditions BHL asked lots of publishers who agreed, with certain provisos. Moving towards the presumption of putting material online, with notice, in good faith, on a 'take down on request' basis. Managing a risk be flexible, exercise due diligence. Might be different if you are seeking to make money from it but could offer to share a proportion of income with publishers, who otherwise would make nothing from this material. NHM has an IPR officer in-house, who could help with any joint project.
- 'Images of Nature' exhibition (includes facsimile of William Smith map) will include print-on-demand kiosk. Happy to look at opportunities to partner with GSL re this facility.
- Library strategy due in November, following an earlier outline document to trustees. In parallel with this (for February), a feasibility project for further development (implementation of strategy). Will include estimates of costings, looking at a 5-year programme (in line with post-CSR settlement).
- Staffing restructuring from disciplinary silo teams to functional teams (acquisitions, services, digitisation, etc), while retaining disciplinary specialisms (now to be the secondary dimension of the matrix, rather than the primary one). Full skills audit will be the first step in thinking about future staffing and services needs this may later be extended to collections staff. Staff development is important see to get all involved in taking forward new projects.
- **Keen to continue conversation with GSL.** Happy to share draft reports. Return library visit in late October/early November? Brainstorming to identify opportunities to work better together operationally, and even to explore options for a map project? **Keen to work together at a more strategic level than hitherto.**

# MEETING WITH HELEN PAIN (ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY): 20 AUGUST 2010

Present: Helen Pain (Director, Membership Services, RSC)
Nic Bilham (Head of Strategy and External Relations, GSL)

- 2005 start of the 'virtual library' initiative. Recognised changing patterns of use. In line with publications strategy, which was moving towards electronic. RSC (and its library) seen as London-centric.
- Realised some time ago that they were being 'overgenerous'. High costs of acquisitions (c50% of total membership services costs?) but a narrow group of heavy users. Still looking at how to recover some of the costs from this group.
- Context for the 5-year programme of change use the space better, given RSC's new outward facing agenda (public, government, etc), in pursuit of charter objective. Divorced development of the physical space from that of 'the library' (which is now largely virtual). Use of this space drove reconsideration of use of space at BH overall, and the move of many functions/staff to Cambridge. Also needed to improve synergies between staff at the two locations.
- At the outset 6 library staff. Now 3 dedicated library staff (one a temporary cataloguing post), and two 'information scientist' posts based in Cambridge, explicitly bridging library and publishing functions (they have publishing experience/expertise). Have not replaced Nigel Lees.
- Reduced cost base achieved through staff reorganisation, but also cutting journal subscriptions. RSC does not view itself as a comprehensive 'repository' of chemistry – but always checked that titles being cut were available elsewhere.
- A review group started the process off. Consulted widely with members they wanted a working library, even though few used it, wanted archives maintained, and supported the virtual library concept. But also wanted somewhere to have tea and coffee, internet access (wifi and their own laptops).
- A few complaints about the changed use of space from some regular users, but even this group appreciates the improved lighting and ambience in the library and throughout the building. Some IT complaints, and some objections to disruption due to meetings in the Chemistry Centre (i.e. the library space). Not aware of any complaints re library acquisitions/holdings.
- A better space for members visiting BH for meetings, etc. More informal meetings and interaction going on. Accessibility is the key – just drop in. But not a 'members club'. The project ended up far less about the library and more about the direction of the Society – to their surprise.
- Create a vision, be up front about it, stick to it. Some doubted they could do it, but the great majority are positive about the outcome.
- Phase 2 of development of the building just getting underway. More development of virtual library to be done.
- Looking for more library cost savings, but driven by genuine development and rationalisation, not cutting for its own sake. Want to drive usage up, particularly in

areas where costs are fixed (so cost-per-usage falls). Look to make changes regarding services where costs are variable (e.g. online access 'tokens' from Elsevier). Fixed a budget, then prioritised to make best use of it – this drove the dropping of underused material. Publishing staff negotiate with the publishers on behalf of the library. Moving towards exchange agreements for some significant electronic content. (Did exchanges for hard copy content previously – not an efficient swap.)

- Members wouldn't know where the costs have been cut maintained services. Great staff who present a positive face.
- Using material, putting it online, etc as 'the RSC', rather than as 'the library'.
- Have cut £200k from a £1m budget, without any noticeable pain. It was unworkable to maintain in its previous form this was a key message to members.
- Happy to continue the conversation, develop interaction between our library staff and theirs, put us in touch with members of their review group, members who were 'concerned' in the early stages of the process, etc.

# LIBRARY REVIEW 2010

# SPECIFIC SUGGESTIONS ARISING FROM CONSULTATION DURING THE REVIEW PROCESS

- Stuart Baldwin offered to provide training to GSL staff in repairing books but it was recognised that taking on such repairs ourselves would have a staffing implication.
- Look again at display of current journals in the Fellows Room the racks are cramped and impossible to browse comfortably. (The racks are quite bulky an alternative display solution could free up considerable space.)
- Look at low level of utilisation of the Lyell Room.
- Subscribe to new Springer journal, 'GeoHeritage' this was suggested as being of value to the Geoconservation Commission.
- Contact the London Library to share experience.
- Provide access to the Web of Science and similar services.
- Improve coverage of hydrogeology and contaminated land.
- Provide facilities to electronically 'pre-order' less accessible material for a specific inperson visit.
- Provide literature search facilities on the GSL website.
- Develop links with IOM3 and ICE libraries, to improve access to those subject areas.

#### COST-PER-USAGE GRID

The cost-per-usage grid has been developed on the basis of various categories of journal usage data gathered as part of the library review process. Based on these data, it estimates the total number of usages of each journal/serial title in each year, and hence a (conservative) cost-per-usage. The current version of the grid includes all current journals, and all historic ones for which an instance of usage has been recorded during the relevant sampling period. It compares cost-per-usage under existing arrangements (purchase, gift, exchange, or whatever) with the pay-per-view cost.

#### Notes:

- The calculated total annual usage extrapolates the partial data available for each type of usage, sums these, and adds two, to allow for occasional usage and fluctuations between years for rarely used titles (so that the estimate is conservative).
- Acquisition method is shown by the following codes: G=gift; E=exchange; S=subscription or standing order; P=purchase of selective issues only.
- Records have been combined where a journal has changed titles.
- Titles which are no longer taken (historic holdings only) are highlighted in grey, and those for which we have an electronic subscription in blue.
- Pay-per-view prices have been estimated in sterling (at an assumed exchange rate of £1:\$1.50:€1.15).
- The last five columns show the theoretical savings which would be made if subscriptions were cancelled for those titles whose cost-per-usage exceeds the pay-per-view price by a factor of one, two, three, four and five, respectively, with the Society covering the cost of the pay-per-view accesses.

Cost per Pay-per-view PPV sterling Savings at 1:1 Savings at 2:1 Savings at 3:1 Savings at 4:1 Savings at 5:1 Loans (1 Photocopy requests (6 Onsite usage | Onsite | Total usage | Total usage | Journal cost Cost of offsite Publisher Photocopy Cost per Acquisition Electronic Loans (3 ethod access (1 nonths) requests (1 (2 months @ usage (1 (recorded) electronic usage sage (total) cost vear · .lul onths) 20% capture year) access electronic 09-Jun10 rate) nly) AAPG Archie series G&S Society AAPG Bulletin
AAPG computer applications in geology G & S G & S 73.00 36.50 Society AAPG continuing education course note series Society AAPG explorer Society G&S 48.00 AAPG Hedberg Series 8.00 Society . AAPG International Conference & Exhibition ... Program [and abstract G & S G & S G & S AAPG Memoir 0.36 60 270 344 346 126.00 Society AAPG Methods in Exploration AAPG Studies in Geology
Abhandlungen der Geologischen Bundesanstalt (Wien) 1.87 63.50 Society Abhandlungen der Senckenbergischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft Abhandlungen des Landesamts fur Geologie, Rohstoffe und Bergbau Baden-Wurttemberg Abstract volume. Joint annual meeting. Geological Association of Canada, Society Mineralogical Association of Canada Abstracts of Chinese geological literature Library Abstracts of papers from meetings held in/sponsored by the Geological Society (London) [Unpublished] Abstracts with programs. Geological Society of America Society Acta geologica Polonica Society Acta geologica Sinica (English edition) Wiley Acta micropalaeontologica Sinica Acta mineralogica-petrographica academic Acta palaeobotanica (Krakow) academic 97.12 48.56 Acta palaeontologica Polonica academic Acta palaeontologica Sinica other commercia Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Geologica 423.27 Africa Geoscience Reviews other commercial 30.23 Agriculture and the Environment R & D newsletter AGSO Research Newsletter 78.50 Alcheringa Taylor & Francis 157.00 Alex L. du Toit memorial lectures Society American journal of science academic 541.15 £ 270.58 American mineralogist Society Annales de Paléontologie £ 121.61 €31.50 £ 27.39 £ 471.11 £ 471.11 £ 46.17 £15.39 471.11 £ Annales des mines et de la geologie (Tunisie) government Annales scientifiques de l' Universite Franche-Comte, Besancon. Geologie Annales Societatis Geologorum Poloniae Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska. Sectio B, Geographia, academic geologia, mineralogia et petrographia Annales. Societe geologique du Nord Society Annals of geophysics Society Annals of the Geological Survey of Egypt Annual Convention official program [and abstracts]. American Association Society of Petroleum Geologists Annual meeting. Israel Geological Society
Annual report BRGM Society Society Annual report of the Council of the Geologists' Associa Society no longer taken Annual report of the Palaeontographical Society
Annual report on active fault and paleoearthquake researches Society survey Annual report. British Antarctic Survey Annual report, British Geological Survey survev Annual report. Bureau of Economic Geology, University of Texas at Austi Annual report. Council for Geoscience (South Africa) survey Annual report. Geological Society (London)
Annual report of the Geological Survey Department for the year asaland Protectorate Annual report. Geological Survey of Norway survey Annual report. Minerals and Geoscience Department, Malaysia survey Annual report. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County Annual report. Southampton Oceanography Centre academic 169.00 84.50 Annual review of earth and planetary sciences other commercia Annual review. Irish Association for Economic Geology Society Annual review. Mineral Resources Tasmania government Annual review. Natural History Museum (London) Annual technical report. Council for Geoscience (South Africa) survey CUP £30.00 £30.00 Anuarul Institutului Geologic al Romaniei (Bucuresti) academic APPEA Journal 190.00 1.83 society Applied earth science 1,324.87 £ 147.11 £1.63 €31.50 £ 14.40 Archives des sciences Society Archiwum mineralogiczne academic Arsmelding. Norsk polarinstitutt Society Astronomy & geophysics Society Atti ticinensi di scienze della terra. Serie speciale (Pavia) academic survey AusIMM bulletin Australian Journal of Earth Sciences no longer taken Austrian journal of earth sciences Society 102.56 £ 51.28 Awards, Geological Society (London) Wiley 791.00 98.88 BGS Bulletin

Cost per Pay-per-view PPV sterling Savings at 1:1 Savings at 2:1 Savings at 3:1 Savings at 4:1 Savings at 5:1 Loans (1 Photocopy requests (6 Onsite usage | Onsite | Total usage | Total usage | Journal cost Cost of offsite Cost per Publisher Photocopy Acquisition Electronic Loans (3 ethod access (1 months) requests (1 (2 months @ usage (1 (recorded) calculated) electronic usage sage (total) cost vear · .lul nonths) 20% capture year) access electronic 09-Jun10) rate) BGS Water Supply Survey no longer take Beitrage zur geologischen Karte der Schweiz Beitrage zur Hydrogeologie Society Beringeria: Wurzburger geowissenschaftliche Mitteilungen 65.00 £ 32.50 academic Bibliography of Namibian earth science survey illet, Edinburgh Geological Society Society iostratigraphic series. South African Committee for Stratigraphy survey Biuletyn Panstwowego Instytutu Geologicznego academic Boletim. Servicos de Geologia e Minas, Mocambique Boletin geologico y minero (Madrid) Society mativo. Comite Nacional Espanol, Programa Internacional de Geociencias oletin. Instituto Geologico Minero y Metalurgico, Peru. Serie A, Carta geologica nacional oletin. Instituto Geologico Minero y Metalurgico, Peru. Serie B, Geologia survey economica Boletin. Instituto Geologico Minero y Metalurgico, Peru. Serie C, Geodinamica e ingenieria geologica Boletin. Servicio Nacional de Geologia y Mineria, Chile survey survey Bollettino del Servizio Geologico d' Italia survey Bollettino della Societa geologica italiana. Volume speciale 251.00 £ 125.50 oreas Wilev BP statistical review of world energy
BSRG ... Annual meeting abstracts (British Sedimentological Research company Group) Society Bulletin Acad Serbe Sci et Arts ulletin. Australian Geological Survey Organisation survev Bulletin. Council for Geoscience (South Africa) survey Bulletin d' information des geologues du Bassin de Paris society lletin de l' Institut scientifique, Rabat. Section sciences de la terre academic Bulletin de la Societe fribourgeoise des sciences naturelles \$ 20.00 £ 13.33 Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France Bulletin du Centre de recherches Elf Exploration Production Society no longer taken Bulletin du Service geologique national (Algerie) Bulletin. Geological Survey Department, Republic of Botswana survey survey Bulletin. Geological Survey Department, Malawi no longer taken Bulletin. Geological Survey of Canada survey etin. Geological Survey of Finland Society Bulletin. Geological Survey of Israel etin. Geological Survey of Namibia survey Bulletin. Geological Survey of Nigeria survey ulletin. Geological Survey of Sierra Leone survey no longer taken lletin. Geological Survey of Western Australi survey sulletin. Houston Geological Society sulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology 112.48 1.12 \$ 15.00 £ 10.00 Society 132.74 £1.26 sulletin of Engineering Geology and the Env 627.27 £ 5.75 €34.00 £ Bulletin of geosciences (Praha) survey Bulletin of Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Academia ther commercial Illetin of the Central Geological Survey (Taiwan) survey Bulletin of the Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo
Bulletin of the Geological Society of Denmark Society letin of the Geological Society of Greece Society 47.00 Bulletin of the Geological Society of Malaysia 3.36 sulletin of the Geological Survey Department, Cyprus survey etin of the Geological Survey of Japan survey Bulletin of Volcanology 1,816.28 £ 348.01 £8.29 £ 39.48 €34.00 £ 29.57 £ 456.28 etin Soc Venezolano Bulletin. Soil Survey of Great Britain. England and Wales no longer taken 37.83 Bulletin. Tethys Geological Society Society 18.92 Bulletin. Zimbabwe Geological Survey survey 132.00 Bulletins of American paleontology £ 66.00 ulletins of the Geological Survey of India. Series A, Economic geology survey Byulleten Moskovskogo obshchestva ispytatelei prirody. Otdel geologicheskii Society Cainozoic research
Canadian Geotechnical Journal 59.77 213.00 £ 5.98 £ 53.25 \$ 10.00 £ 6.67 £ 186.33 £ 186.33 £ 186.33 £ 186.33 £ 186.33 Society Canadian Journal of Earth Science 328.00 10.00 £ **6.67** £ 301.33 Society £ 82.00 \$ Canadian mineralogist Society 426.00 £ 213.00 Canadian mineralogist. Special publication Society 340.35 £ €34.00 **£** 29.57 Carbonates and evaporites (available online from July 2010) 63.64 £5.30 £ 24.31 Caribbean journal of earth science Society 71.72 £ 35.86 Catalogue of South African lithostratigraphic units Cave and karst science: transactions of the British Cave Research survey 14.00 28.00 Society Central European Geology 351.10 2.14 €20.00 **£** 17.39 Charter and Bye-laws of the Geological Society of London 4,365.55 £ £ 23.10 \$ 31.50 £ Chronique de la recherche miniere no longer taken Chronostratigraphic series. South African Committee for Stratigraphy survey Circular. International Subcommission on Stratigraphic Classification of IUGS Commission on Stratigraphy Circular. Quaternary Research Association

Ciria news

Cost per Pay-per-view PPV sterling Savings at 1:1 Savings at 2:1 Savings at 3:1 Savings at 4:1 Savings at 5:1 Loans (1 Photocopy Onsite usage | Onsite | Total usage | Total usage | Journal cost Cost of offsite Cost per Publisher Photocopy Acquisition Electronic Loans (3 ethod access (1 nonths) requests (6 requests (1 (2 months @ usage (1 (recorded) electronic usage year) sage (total) cost vear · lul nonths) 20% capture year) access electronic 09-Jun10 rate) only) Clay Minerals 256.00 £ 64.00 \$ 16.00 £ 10.67 £ 213.33 £ 213.33 213.33 £ 213.33 £ 213.33 Society Collapsing soils communique Colorado School of Mines quarterly academic Communications of the Geological Survey of Namibia
Communications. Faculty of Sciences, University of Ankara. Series C, survey Biology, geological engineering and geophysical engineering Elsevier Masson 275.07 £1.67 2,483.92 £ £ 14.87 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 Computers & Geosciences Elsevier Comunicacoes geologicas academic Concepts in hydrogeology and environmental geology. SEPM (Society for Sedimentary Geology) Contributions in science. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County museum 42 £ - £ - £0.00 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 \$ 3,423.11 £ 3,423.11 £ 3,423.11 £ 3,423.11 £ 3,423.11 Continental Shelf Research no longer taken Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology Contributions to sedimentary geology E.Schweizerbart'sche Coprolite. Geological Curators' Group 1,242.72 £ €34.00 **£ 29.57** £ 1,006.20 £ 1,006.20 £ 1,006.20 £ 1,006.20 £ 1,006.20 240.68 £40.11 £ 155.34 Coral Reefs countryside focus Society 1.350.66 £ 149.70 £0.49 3.26 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 retaceous Research Cruise report. Geological Survey of Japan survey Current research. Geological Survey of Canada Current research. Geological Survey of Israel survey zech Geological Survey special papers survey Danmarks og Gronlands Geologiske Undersogelse Rapport survev Denkschriften der Schweize<u>rischen Akademie</u> der Naturwissenschaften Society Developments in sedimentology Elsevier academic Diqiu kexue: zhonggo dizhi daxue xuebao academic Directory of Westminster & Whitehall other commercia Discovering geology: an Earthwise publication survey Documents de l' Institut Scientifique Documents du BRGM Down to earth other commercial Society Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of 5.41 £0 00 £30 £ 30.00 1.01 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 238.00 Earth and Planetary Science Letters 4251 4267 £ 4,321.83 £ 482.22 £0.11 Earth evolution sciences. University of Tsukuba academic Earth heritage Earth science Ireland Society Society 1,634.31 £ 115.03 £0.15 2.19 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 arth-science Reviews Earth Sciences History 0.67 \$ 10.00 £ Earth surface processes and landforms Wiley Society Economic Geology 247.00 1.15 \$ 35.00 £ 23.33 214 £ society conomic Geology Monograph society Economic memoir ... British Geological Survey. England and Wales Edinburgh geologist society ngineering Geology 232.69 £0.62 5.52 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 nvironmental earth sciences (access problems Jan-Jun) 615.38 £4.49 22.97 Springer Environmental & engineering geoscience
Environmental Geochemistry and Health 212.00 1,003.40 £ £ 106.00 £ 167.23 €34.00 **£ 29.57** £ 826.01 £ 826.01 £ 826.01 £ 826.01 £ 826.01 192.60 £48.15 Springer Erdwissenschaftliche Beitrage zum Naturschutz Erkundung mineralischer Rohstoffe in Bayern survev survey Estonian journal of earth sciences Estudios geologicos (Madrid) museum Estudos notas e trabalhos do Instituto Geologico e Minero (Portugal) Society E.Schweizerbart'sche European geologist European journal of mineralogy European mineral statistics Excellence in science Society Expanded abstracts with biographies ... Technical program [Annual meeting]. Society of Exploration Geophysicists Society Exploration and mining geology Society Extended abstracts ... conference and technical exhibition ... European Association of Geoscientists & Engineers 94.49 £2.62 £ 11.46 €34.00 £ 29.57 First Break Society 926.44 £ 115.81 Folia quaternaria Society Fortschritter Geol. Und Palaont. 229.00 Fossil record Wilev 114.50 Fossils. Palaeontological Society of Japan Society Frontiers Society GA: magazine of the Geologists' Association Society Gaia. National and Kapodistrian University of Athens. Edition of the Department of Geology academic Gaia: revista de geociencias museum Gems & jewellery Geo Kartenbrief Society Geo Katalog. Band 2, Geowissenschaften GEO publication (Hong Kong) survey academic GeoArabia other commercial

Onsite usage Onsite Total usage Total usage Journal cost (2 months @ usage (1 (recorded) (calculated) (total) Electronic Loans (3 Loans (1 Photocopy access (1 months) year) requests (6 Cost per Pay-per-view PPV sterling Savings at 1:1 Savings at 2:1 Savings at 3:1 Savings at 4:1 Savings at 5:1 Photocopy Cost of offsite Cost per Publisher Acquisition ethod requests (1 electronic usage usage (total) cost equivalent vear · .lul nonths) 20% capture year) access electronic 09-Jun10 rate) only) Geobulletin. Geological Society of South Africa Society Geochemistry: exploration, environment, analysis Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 3,002.00 £ 334.94 £1.61 £ 13.77 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 Elsevier Geochronique survey Geociencias (Sao Paulo) academic Geociencias: revista da Universidade de Aveiro academic Geodinamica acta: revue de geologie dynamique et de geographie 108.75 435.00 physique other commercia Geodiversitas
Geodynamics series. American Geophysical Union museum no longer taken Wiley Geo-Forum Rheinland-Pfalz Geogaceta Geolog society society Geologia Croatica survey Geologia Sudetica Geologica acta academic Geologica Balcanica (Sofia) academic Geologica Bavarica Geologica Belgica Society Geologica Carpathica other comme Geologica Macedonica academic Geologica Romana university no longer taken Geological Association of Canada special paper 110.00 £ 18.33 Society Geological Curator Society Geological Journal Wiley £30.00 Geological Magazine 180 £30 200 Geological papers. Geological Survey of Malaysia survey Geological review (Beijing) Society Geological Society of America bulletin Society 210 226 228 Geological Society engineering geology special publication (London) Geological Society memoir (London) Geological Society special publication (London) Geological Society special report (London) Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland bulletin survey Geological Survey of Finland special paper Geological Survey of Western Australia annual review survey Geologische Abhandlungen Hessen Geologisches Jahrbuch Geologisches Jahrbuch Hessen Society Society Geologists' Association guide Society Geologist's directory. Geological Society (London) Society Geology Society 150 Geology matters survey Geology of Canada Geology Today Wiley Geomagnetic bulletin. British Geological Survey survey 1,167.03 £ 224.12 £0.72 £ 3.67 €34.00 £ 29.57 Geo-Marine Letters Geophemera Society Geophysical Journal International no longer taken Geophysical monograph. American Geophysical Union Geophysical Prospecting Society 821.06 9.12 Geophysical research abstracts [Electronic resource] Society Geophysical Research Letters no longer taken 27.00 £ 18.00 142.00 £ 71.00 Geoscience Canada Society Geoscience Canada reprint series Society Geoscience in south-west England Geosciences: la revue du BRGM pour une terre durable Society Geosphere [Not held] Geotechnical and Geological Engineering Geotechnical services file other commercial Géotechnique (access problems for several months last year) £15.00 635.00 £ 6.29 £15 .Schweizerbart'sche 37.00 Taylor & Francis 148.00 £ 74.00 GLA Fachberichte Society Glasnik Prirodnjackog Muzeja u Beogradu. Serija A, Geoloske Nauke 1,648.50 £ 183.35 £4.17 **£ 31.70** \$ 31.50 **£ 21.00** £ 556.50 Global and Planetary Change Global tectonics and metallogeny E.Schweizerbart'sche GNS Science monograph 923.53 £ 102.17 £0.30 2.68 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 Ground engineering (includes supplement European foundations) other commercial £ 161.50 Ground water [sub includes Ground Water Monitoring and Remediation]
Ground Water Monitoring and Remedediation 323.00 GA Proceedings society GRSG newsletter Society GSA today Society Guide series. Geological Survey of Ireland survey Hallesches Jahrbuch fur Geowissenschaften. Reihe B: Geologie, Palaontologie, Mineralogie
Handbook. Council for Geoscience (South Africa) other commercial Handbook, Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research Society Hellenic journal of geosciences academic Himalayan geology
History of Geology Group newsletter 42.81 academic £ 21.41

Cost per Pay-per-view PPV sterling Savings at 1:1 Savings at 2:1 Savings at 3:1 Savings at 4:1 Savings at 5:1 Loans (1 Photocopy Onsite usage | Onsite | Total usage | Total usage | Journal cost Cost of offsite Cost per Publisher Photocopy Acquisition Electronic Loans (3 ethod access (1 months) requests (6 requests (1 (2 months @ usage (1 (recorded) calculated) electronic usage year) sage (total) cost vear · lul nonths) 20% capture year) access electronic 09-Jun10 rate) only) Holiday geology guides: an Earthwise publication survey Holiday geology map: an Earthwise publication Hong Kong Geological Survey sheet report survey Society 1,119.55 £ 215.05 £7.17 €34.00 £ 29.57 £ 173.46 f 34 99 Hydrogeology Journal Hydrological data United Kingdom. Hydrometric register and statistics Ichthyolith issues. Special publication other commercial Ichthyolith issues: news and views on Palaeozoic vertebrate microfossils Indian journal of geosciences
Information circular. Geological Survey of Ireland survey Informationen. Landesamt fur Geologie, Rohstoffe und Bergbau Baden Wurttemberg Institute of Geological & Nuclear Sciences geological map (New Zealand) Society International civil engineering abstracts [Electronic resource] International Geological Congress [Publications] society £20.00 £ 710.00 £ 710.00 £ International Geology Review
International Journal of Coal Geolog 1.030.00 3.99 \$ 31.50 £ 6.04 €34.00 £ 21.00 29.57 2,308.79 £ ternational Journal of Earth Sciences
ternational Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences 1,408.28 £ €34.00 £ pringer 269.04 £1.19 3.004.07 8.19 \$ 31.50 £ vestigation of Geothermal Potential UK no longer taken Society Iraqi Journal of Geology [Iraqi geological journal?] no longer taken 97.00 Irish journal of earth sciences Society £ 24.25 791.96 £ 197.99 Israel Journal of Earth Sciences £ 1.00 \$ 15.00 £ 10.00 other con 240.00 Society Italian journal of geosciences Jahresbericht der Geologischen Bundesanstalt (Wien) Jahresbericht. Bayerisches Geologisches Landesamt survev Jahreshefte des Landesamtes fur Geologie, Rohstoffe und Bergbau Baden-Wurttemberg 72.78 society £ 36.39 Journal and proceedings of the Royal Society of New South Wales urnal of African Earth Sciences 2,170.00 £ 3.82 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 241.72 £0.43 ournal of Asian Earth Sciences 1,127.28 £ 143.47 3.53 \$ 31.50 £ Journal of Canadian Society of Exploration Geophysics Journal of conference proceedings other commercia Journal of earth and planetary sciences Nagoya University 161.00 16.10 Journal of foraminiferal research Society urnal of gemmology society 22.03 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 £ 10.21 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 175.53 £2.58 182.77 £1.17 Journal of Geochemical Exploration 1,586.21 £ 74.21 1,633.01 £ Journal of Geodynamics 193.73 16.14 \$ 15.00 £ 10.00 £ 73.73 Journal of Geophysical Research no longer taken 163.85 Journal of Geoscience Education £ 27.31 society Journal of geosciences (Praha) Journal of Himalayan earth sciences 44.93 £ 22.47 academic Journal of Iberian geology academic Journal of metamorphic geology Wiley Journal of micropalaeontology 10.00 £ 217.00 £ 217.00 £ 217.00 £ 217.00 257.00 64.25 \$ 15.00 £ 217.00 £ Journal of Paleontology society Journal of Petroleum Geology Wilev 150 180 444.00 £ 2.47 £ 230.00 \$ 32.00 £ 21.33 £ 834.67 £ 834.67 £ 834.67 £ 834.67 £ 834.67 Journal of Petrology 920.00 Journal of Quaternary science Wiley 68.00 £ 34.00 ournal of science of the Hiroshima University. Series C, Geology and mineralogy
Journal of Sedimentary Research 504.00 1.95 \$ 15.00 £ 10.00 society 240 256 258 383.16 931.08 £ 63.86 n/a 3.69 \$ 31.50 £ rnal of Seismic Exploration £ 63.86 other commercial ournal of South American Earth Sciences ournal of Structural Geology 103.72 £0.41 21.00 0.91 \$ 31.50 £ 1,599.07 £ 178.97 £0.10 21.00 Taylor & Francis Journal of the Geological Society (London) ournal of the Geological Society of India (available from July 2010 Journal of the Geological Society of Sri Lanka society Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Rese 3,239.36 £ 368.74 £1.40 £ 12.18 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 Key issues in earth sciences. Geological Society (London) Landmark papers society Lecture notes in Earth Sciences [not held] 27.00 £ Leading Edge society 233.00 £ 116.50 Wiley List of Fellows and Associates. The Geological Society (London) 1,726.40 £ 191.15 £1.36 £ 12.07 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 Lithosphere Lithostratigraphic series. South African Committee for Stratigraphy Society Loess letter academic Loess letter supplement academic Luma. Leeds University Mining Association academic Mainzer geowissenschaftliche Mitteilungen survey Map report series. Geological Survey of Ireland survey 2,100.03 £ 2,100.03 £0.86 0.84 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 Marine and petroleum geology Marine Geology Marine Geophysical Researches £ 4.03 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 £ 2.55 €34.00 £ 29.57 4,050.81 £ 453.84 £0.45 795.13 £ 152.79 £0.50 100 Springer 312 £ 1,574.62 £ 174.36 £3.06 26.69 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 £ 335.62 Materials World society 1,041.36 £ Meddelelser om Gronland. Geoscience Memoir. BGS

survey

Electronic Loans (3 Loans (1 Photocopy access (1 months) year) requests (6 Cost per Pay-per-view PPV sterling Savings at 1:1 Savings at 2:1 Savings at 3:1 Savings at 4:1 Savings at 5:1 Onsite usage | Onsite | Total usage | Total usage | Journal cost Cost of offsite Cost per Publisher Photocopy Acquisition ethod requests (1 (2 months @ usage (1 (recorded) electronic usage sage (total) cost vear · .lul onths) 20% capture year) access electronic 09-Jun10) moirs of the Geological Survey of Great Britain. Special reports on th mineral resources of Great Britain Memoir. Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists Society Memoir. Council for Geoscience (South Africa) Society Memoir. Geological Society of America Society emoir. Geological Society of India Society emoir, Geological Survey of Ireland no longer taken survey emoir. Geological Survey of Kenya no longer taken survey Memoir. Geological Survey of Uganda no longer taken survey survey emoires de geosciences Rennes academic Memoires de la Societe geologique de France. Nouvelle serie Society Memoires du service geologique national (Algerie)
Memoirs of the Faculty of Science, Kyoto University. Series of geology and mineralogy academic Memoirs of the Geological Survey of Belgium survey Memoirs. Geological Survey of India Memorials. Geological Society of America survey lemorias e noticias. Publicacoes do Departamento de Ciencias da Terra e do Museu Mineralogico e Geologico da Universidade de Coimbra. Nova Memorie della Societa geologica italiana Society Memorie descrittive della carta geologica d' Italia Memorie di Scienze Geologie [possibliy Memorie di scienze geologiche o longer taken Memorie per servire alla descrizione della carta geologica d' Italia Mercian geologist MESA journal 17.00 8.50 Society Metric Well Inventory no longer taken 330.00 other commercial 33.00 Mineral Assessment Report Mineral processing and extractive metallurgy

Mineral resources bulletin. Directorate General of Mineral Resources Maney (Saudi Arabia) no longer taken neral resources bulletin. Geological Survey of Western Australia survey Mineral resources report. Geological Survey Department, Republic of Botswana survey Mineral resources series. Zimbabwe Geological Survey survey Mineralia Slovaca Mineralium Deposita Mineralogical magazine society 410.00 £ 205.00 Mineralogical Society bulletin society 1,671.12 £ £ 238.73 €34.00 £ 29.57 £ 1,464.16 £ 1,464.16 £ 1,464.16 £ 1,464.16 £ 1,464.16 Mining annual review other commercial ining environmental management other commercial Mining magazine other commercial neous publication. Geological Survey of India Mitteilungen aus dem Geologisch-Palaontologischen Institut der Universitat Hamburg
Mitteilungen der Naturforschenden Gesellschaften beider Basel Monograph of the Palaeontographical Society 120.00 0.75 society 150 160 £ 32.00 £ 21.33 other commercial Nature geoscience other commercial 799.00 £ 399.50 Near surface geophysics society Netherlands journal of geosciences Neues Jahrbuch fur Geologie und Palaontologie, Abhandlungen €34.00 £ 29.57 1,260.00 464.00 £ 315.00 £ 232.00 **£28.84** £ 1,144.64 £ 1,144.64 £ 1,144.64 £ 1,144.64 £ 1,144.64 E. Schweizerbart'sche £28.84 Neues Jahrbuch fur Mineralogie. Abhandlungen E. Schweizerbart'sche New Scientist
New Zealand Geological Survey paleontological bulletin no longer taken survey survey New Zealand journal of geology and geophysics News. Geological Survey of India 268.79 Taylor & Francis £ 134.40 survey Newsletter. Engineering Group of the Geological Society Newsletter. Geoscience Information Society
Newsletter. International Commission on the History of Geological Society Sciences (INHIGEO) Newsletter. International Geological Correlation Programme society no longer taken Newsletter of micropalaeontology ewsletters on stratigraphy E. Schweizerbart'sche no longer taken Norwegian Journal of Geology Society Notes du Service geologique de Tunisie survey NPD-bulletin Occasional publication. Yorkshire Geological Society Society Oceanology [not held] 153.96 Ofioliti other commercial £ 76.98 108.35 £ 27.09 £ 132.00 other commercial Oil and Gas Science and Technology ONGC Bulletin 127.31 other commercial £ 31.83 Open University Geological Society journal Society Open-file report. United States Geological Survey 112.54 3.23 \$ 31.50 £ 6.77 \$ 31.50 £ 3,473.11 £ Outcrop society Overseas Memoir. British Geological Survey no longer taken

Palaeobiodiversity and palaeoenvironments

Springer

Loans (1 Photocopy requests (6 Cost per Pay-per-view PPV sterling Savings at 1:1 Savings at 2:1 Savings at 3:1 Savings at 4:1 Savings at 5:1 Onsite usage | Onsite | Total usage | Total usage | Journal cost Cost of offsite Cost per Publisher Photocopy Acquisition Electronic Loans (3 ethod access (1 months) requests (1 (2 months @ usage (1 (recorded) electronic usage sage (total) cost equivalent vear · .lul nonths) 20% capture year) access electronic . 09-Jun10) rate) Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palae 431.49 5.43 \$ Palaeontographica Americana society 80.00 13.33 Palaeontographica Canadiana society 136.00 £ 68.00 Palaeontographica. Abteilung A, Palaozoologie, Stratigraphie 606.00 E. Schweizerbart'sche Palaeontographica. Abteilung B, Palaophytologie E. Schweizerbart'sche £ 604.00 Palaeontologia Indica survey Palaeontologia Polonica Palaeontologia Sinica, New series academic 220.00 55.00 Palaeontology Wiley Palaeontology newsletter Society Palaontologische Zeitschrift (available from July 2010) 63.43 £21.14 339.30 £ £ 5.22 €34.00 **£** Paleobiology Paleobios (Berkeley) Society museum Paleontological research (Tokyo)
Paleontological Society papers Paper. Canadian Geoscience Council no longer taken Paper. Geological Survey of Egypt Periodico di mineralogia survey 83.16 Pesquisas em geociencias. Instituto de Geociencias, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul academic PESA News Petroleum Geoscience Society Petroleum science. University of Petroleum, China Petrophysics 96 £ 123.82 1.29 society Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London [Series A & Series B1 ociety PGC Proceedings [unidentified] Planet Earth Polar Research no longer taken Polish Geological Institute special papers Prace geologiczne. Polska Akademia Nauk, Oddzial w Krakowie, Komisja Society Nauk Geologicznych Prace Panstwowego Instytutu Geologicznego Prace Paristio. Inst. Geol. (Poland) [unidentified] Society 3,005.63 £ 335.62 £0.84 £ 7.42 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 . Annual Convention. Indonesian Petroleum Association Society Proceedings of the ... session, South Pacific Applied Geoscience mmission (SOPAC) Proceedings of the Cotteswold Naturalists' Field Club 16.04 £ 8.02 Proceedings of the Geological Society of Glasgow Society Proceedings of the Geologists' Association Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers: Geotechnical engin (access problems for several months last year) 6.46 00.03 251.89 £15.0 Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series B, Physical and biological ciences Society Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program. Part A, Initial reports Society Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program. Part B, Scientific results Society Proceedings of the Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research society Proceedings of the Shropshire Geological Society Proceedings of the Southeast Asia Petroleum Exploration Society society Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society Proceedings. Cumberland Geological Society society 65.04 32.52 Proceedings. Geoscience Information Society society Professional papers of stratigraphy and palaeontology (Beijing)
Program with abstracts ... Annual Meeting. Association of Engineering other commercial Geologists society Progress report. National Cataloguing Unit for the Archives of Contemporary Scientists ociety Publications on geodesy. Netherlands Geodetic Commission. New series [Publikatie]. Nederlandse Commissie voor Geodesie Pure and applied geophysics Springer Quaderni. Servizio geologico nazionale (Italia). Serie 3 survey Quarterly journal of engineering geology and hydrogeology (London) 112.51 £1.16 £ 10.36 \$ 31.50 £ 1,137.18 £ 125.92 £3.31 £ 28.43 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 £ 297.18 uaternary Science Reviews Rapport annuel. Programme International de correlation geologique Comite Français Society Recent additions. Geological Society of London Library Recorder [unidentified] ecords of the Geological Survey of India survey Rendiconti della Societa geologica italiana
Report. Institute of Geological Sciences (London) Society no longer taken Report series. Geological Survey of Ireland survey Report. Geological Survey of Israel survey Report. Geological Survey of Western Australia survey Report Geophysical Institute of Israel Report of the Director of the Geological Survey for ... Ghana Geological urvey o longer taken Report, Mines and Geological Department, Kenya survey no longer taken Report. Northern Territory Geological Survey survey Reports of the Swiss Geological Survey

Reunion des sciences de la terre (Paris)

Electronic Loans (3 Loans (1 Photocopy access (1 months) year) requests (6 Cost per Pay-per-view PPV sterling Savings at 1:1 Savings at 2:1 Savings at 3:1 Savings at 4:1 Savings at 5:1 Onsite usage | Onsite | Total usage | Total usage | Journal cost Cost of offsite Cost per Publisher Photocopy Acquisition ethod requests (1 (2 months @ usage (1 (recorded) electronic usage sage (total) cost vear · .lul nonths) 20% capture year) access electronic 09-Jun10 rate) only) Review of the Bulgarian Geological Society Society Reviews in Economic Geology society 89.00 6.36 Reviews in engineering geology Society Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry \$ 25.00 £ 16.67 society Revista Brasileira de paleontologia Society evista de la Sociedad Geologica de Espana Society Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales. Nueva serie 116.00 58.00 Revista espanola de paleontologia Society Revista geologica de America Central 184.03 £ 14.00 £0.44 4.84 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 Revue de Micropaleontologie Revue roumaine de geologie society Revue roumaine de geophysique Rivista italiana di paleontologia e stratigrafia Rivista italiana di paleontologia e stratigrafia. Memoria academic 900.12 £ 168.10 £4.80 £ 24.33 €34.00 **£** 29.57 Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering Romanian journal of geophysics society Romanian journal of paleontology Society Romanian journal of petrology Society Romanian journal of stratigraphy Society Romanian journal of tectonics and regional geology Society society Rudarsko-geolosko-naftni zbornik (Zagreb) academic ssian geoscience journals other commercial Sbornik geologickych ved. Antropozoikum survey Sbornik geologickych ved. Geologie survev Sbornik geologickych ved. Paleontologie survey Science series. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County museum Scientific programme. European Geosciences Union General Assembly Society Scottish journal of geology Scriptu geologica Scriptum: Arbeitsergebnisse aus dem Geologischen Dienst Nordrheinmuseum SECED newslette £ 4.38 \$ 31.50 £ 21.00 3,422.18 £ 384.97 £0.52 edimentary Geology 1,031.17 Wiley Sedimentology £ 73.66 SEG newsletter 24.28 £ 12.14 eismological series. Council for Geoscience (South Africa) survey SEPM concepts in sedimentology and paleontology SEPM core workshop Society SEPM reprint series society SEPM short course notes society SEPM special publication 177.00 5.53 society Society Short course notes. Geological Association of Canada Short course series. Mineralogical Association of Canada Society Society Short report. Zimbabwe Geological Survey survey Slovak geological magazine survey 15.00 £ 10.00 society Special paper. Geological Society of America Society 44 Special Papers in Palaeontology 105.00 £ 17.50 society Special papers. Palaeontological Society of Japan Special publication of the Central Geological Survey (Taiwan) survey Special publication. Cushman Foundation for Foraminiferal Research Special publication. Geochemical Society society Special publication. Geological Association of Canada, Mineral Deposits ivision Special publication. Geological Society of Australia society Special publication. Geological Survey of India survey Special publication. International Association of Sedimentologists 27.82 Special publication. Norges geologiske undersokelse £ 13.91 Society Special publication. Society of Economic Geologists Special publications of the Geological Society of Greece Society Special publications of the International Association of Volcanology and Chemistry of the Earth's Interior statistical editions. Institute of Geology and Mineral Exploration (Greece) academic Stratigraphy Studia Geologica Polanica 253.00 other commercial £ 126.50 academic Studia quaternaria Studii si cercetari de geofizica Society Studii si cercetari de geologie Society Subsurface memoir. British Geological Survey survey Supplementary publication. Geological Society (London) £ 117.83 €34.00 £ 29.57 £ 794.35 £ 9 £ 1,060.44 £ 203.01 £29.00 794.35 £ 794.35 Sveriges geologiska undersokning. Serie Ae, Jordartsgeologiska kartblad. Skala 1:50,000 survey Sveriges geologiska undersokning. Serie Af, Berggrundsgeologiska och geofisiska kartblad. Skala 1:50,000 Sveriges geologiska undersokning. Serie Ag, Hydrogeologiska kartblad. Skala 1:50.000 Sveriges geologiska undersokning. Serie Ah, Hydrogeologiska oversiktskartor. Skala 1:250,000 Sveriges geologiska undersokning. Serie Ai, Berggrund baskartor for prospektering. Skala 1:50,000 Sveriges geologiska undersokning. Serie Ak, Kvartargeologiska kartan. Skala 1:100.000 Sveriges geologiska undersokning. Serie Am, Maringeologiska kartan

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		18	19	20	21	22
Title	Publisher	Acquisition	Electronic	Loans (3	Loans (1	Photocopy	Photocopy	Onsite usage	Onsite	Total usage	Total usage		Cost of offsite		Cost per	Pay-per-view	v PPV sterling			Savings at 3:1	Savings at 4:1	Savings at 5:
		method	access (1	months)	year) `	requests (6	requests (1	(2 months @	usage (1	(recorded)	(calculated)	(total)	electronic	usage	usage (total)		equivalent				Ů	
	ļ	1	year : Jul	'	,	months)	year)	20% capture	year)	,			access	(electronic	' ' '	1	1					
			09-Jun10)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		rate)						only)								
Sveriges geologiska undersokning. Serie Ba, Oversiktskartor med					,					,	2											
	survey	E			<u> </u>	<u> </u>																
	Springer	S	37		0		C		0	37	39	£ 1,036.78	£ 194.95	£5.27	£ 26.58	€34.0	0 £ 29.57					
	society	G			<u> </u>	<u> </u>					2											
Technical bulletin. Coordinating Committee for Coastal and Offshore	J	1		1	8	4	2	2	0	10	12											
	Society	G		2	<u> </u>	1																
Technical bulletin. South Pacific Applied Geoscience Commission	J	1		1	, '	1				,	2											
	society	G		'	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>															
	survey	E		'	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				2											
Techniques of water-resources investigations of the United States	J	1		1	, '	1				,	2											
	survey	G		<u> </u>		<u> </u>																
Tectonics		no longer taken			0	6	12	4	0	12	14											
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Elsevier	S	1342	4	0	8	3 16	<u>i</u>	3 90	1448	1450	£ 5,535.24	£ 630.73	£0.47	£ 3.82	\$ 31.50	£ 21.00					
	Niley	S							$\bot$		2											
		S		1	4	8	16	<u>i</u>	4 120	140	142	£ 706.84			£ 4.98							
	museum	Р		1	4			از	0	4	6											
TPJD bulteni		no longer taken		2	. 8		C	از	0	8	10											
Transactions of the Institution of Mining and Metallurgy. Section A, Mining S	society	1	1	1		1			1 T		2						1					
technology		E			<u> </u>	<u> </u>																
	Society	S			<u> </u>						2											
Transactions and proceedings of the New Zealand Institute		no longer taken			0	1	2	<u> </u>	0	2	4											
Travaux de l' Institut scientifique. Serie geologie et geographie physique	ļ	1		1	, ,	1				,	2											
	academic	G			<u> </u>	<u> </u>																
	Society	S		<u> </u>		<u> </u>					2											
	Society	S		2	. 8	4	C	)	0	8	10					n/a	а					
	oti ioi ooiiiiiioi oidi	S		'	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				2	£ 16.00			£ 8.00							
	ooolotj	G		'	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				2											
	society	G		'	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				2											
	Julycy	E		'	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				2											
	survey	E		4	16	<u> </u>		)	0	16	18											
		G		'	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				2											
	survey	G			<u> </u>	<u> </u>					2											
	Jarroj	G		3	3 12		(	ال	0	12	14											
USGS Memoir [unidentified]		Q		1	4	<u> </u>	(	)	0	4	6											
Vibroseis (SEG) [unidentified]		Q			0	2		4	0	4	6											
	society				0	1	2	2	0	2	4					n/a	а					
Water supply paper. Geological Survey of Uganda		no longer taken		1	4		C	1	0	4	6											
Water Supply Papers of the Institute of Geological Sciences		no longer taken		1	4		(		0	4	6											
	otrici odriiriciolai	S			——"	<u> </u>			$\bot$		2	£ 125.64			£ 62.82							
	survey	E		<u> </u>	<b></b> _'	<b></b>					2											
	academic	G							$\bot$		2											
	academic	G		<b></b> '	<b>↓</b> '	<b></b>					2											
	E. Schweizerbart'sche	E			0	1	2	2	0	2	4					£28.8	4 £28.84					
Zeitschrift Deutsch. Geol. Res.		Q		1	4	<u> </u>	(	)	0	4	6											
	E. Schweizerbart'sche	S		1	4		(	<u> </u>	0	4	6											
Zentralblatt fur Geologie und Palaontologie. Teil 1, Allgemeine,		1	1	Ι	1 7	1			1 7		2				£ 41.50		1					
	E. Schweizerbart'sche			<b></b> '	<b></b> '	<b></b>			$\bot$			£ 83.00			1							
	E. Schweizerbart'sche	S		'	'	<u></u>					2	£ 619.00			£ 309.50							
Zitteliana. Reihe A, Mitteilungen der Bayerischen Staatssammlung fur		1	1	1		1					2				£ 18.38							
Palaontologie und Geologie	Society	S				1						£ 36.76				<u> </u>						
Zitteliana. Reihe B, Abhandlungen der Bayerischen Staatssammlung fur			T								2				£ 21.93							
Palaontologie und Geologie	Society	İS	1	1	1 '	1	1	1	1 1	,	I	£ 43.85	I	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	
i alabitologie una Geologie	Judiety	0										£ 127.967.38						<u> </u>				

This brief note is intended as a skeletal overview only – given the volume of reading the Library Review Group is already doing I have deliberately chosen to select key points and present them using an informal style. If there are points of particular relevance or interest, or areas where members wish for a fuller description I can elaborate at the time.

## **HEADLINES - SOME THING TO WATCH OUT FOR:**

- The explosion in information production and availability will continue unabated.
- The "emerging nations" will be major markets and a source of authored content in their own right.
- Publishers will continue to work hard to protect their journal brand.
- Discoverability of online content will continue to be of prime importance.
- Publishers will compete for the best authored content from all regions, and may adopt new role to do so.
- There will be experimentation with the peer review model in attempt to drive down cost and democratize science.
- There will be an increasing danger of plagiarism.
- Publication online ahead of print will become the norm.
- Internal production systems will link more closely with end-user behavior.
- Marketing will become predominantly electronic.
- Some libraries will purchase access by blocks of pay-per-view access an opportunity and a threat
- Delivery to mobile devices will become the norm within some disciplines.
- Usage statistics will become the key to subscription renewal or cancellation decisions.
- Self-publication models hosted by unconventional competitors are likely to emerge.
- National consortia will become important.
- Highly organized repositories may become a competitive threat to publishers.
- Libraries will prefer aggregated content holdings.
- Publishers will invest heavily in semantic enrichment of content.
- Web 2.0 has been slower than expected to find its place in the formal process of scientific publication.
- Ultimately, the role of publisher will be that of technology intermediary facilitating the relationship between the generator and consumer of scientific research.

## **OVERVIEW**

# A definition of publishing

The process by which value is added to raw authored content, primarily by:

- Confirming value and quality (peer review)
- Improving sense and enriching meaning (editing and associated processes)
- Standardization of presentation (typesetting, electronic tagging)
- Registration of the claim to authorship (formal acceptance in recognized literature)
- Addition of functionality and enhancement of value (web hosting)
- Promotion and dissemination to the reader community (publication, sales, marketing, print, online)
- Archiving and preservation.

#### Publishers can be:

- Commercial (the biggest four Elsevier, Wiley-Blackwell, Springer, Taylor and Francis account for 50% of the market...)
- Not-for-profit
  - Society
  - o University Press

# A historical perspective

Steady progress for 500 years:

- 17<sup>th</sup> century initiation the first journals
- 18th century multiplication the growth of journal titles
- 19th century specialization the compartmentalization of science
- 20th century commercialization the rise of the corporate publisher
- 21st century the enrichment of content and the extraction of *meaning*.

## Where do we stand now?

An explosion of information production and availability:

- 5 million+ researchers and growing at 3% per year
- 1.4 million articles published each year and growing at 3%
- 23 000 peer reviewed journal titles
- 2000 publishers
- 95% of journals available online
- Exponential growth of the volume of data available

Close links between national GDP, investment in education, research activity, authored output, international scientific collaboration – and economic growth. These factors are broadly synchronized, both within and between nation states. So, note: what were once "emerging nations" are now significant markets and sources of content in their own right.

## **CONTENT GENERATION**

#### Authors and content generation

For research scientists engaged in the cycle of research and publication, the journal remains the primary vehicle for progress. Authors select the journals they submit to according to:

- Journal reputation
- Exposure to the relevant community
- Time to publication
- Impact Factor

These factors contribute to the journal brand and publisher work hard (and will continue to do so) to protect their brand value.

In the future they are increasingly likely to consider:

- Discoverability of their content and meaning inherent in it
- Alternative and complementary bibliometrics the IF is unlikely to predominate indefinitely.

In the past "high quality" authored content was derived largely from North America and Europe. This is changing rapidly and commercial publishers are actively competing for high quality content from:

- Brazil
- Russia
- India
- China

Publishers traditionally competed for library spend. They now compete for authored content, to the extent that some publishers are now moving into scientific conference organization with intention of cornering the authored outputs.

#### Peer review

The process (generally publisher-administered) by which respected researchers vouch for the originality, reliability and quality of a paper prior to acceptance for publication. It may be:

- Double blind where neither reviewer nor author knows which parties are involved
- Single blind where the reviewer is told the author, but not vice-versa
- Open where both parties know who the other is, and the reviewers report is sent to the author
- Public where pre and post-publication comment is openly invited from all.

Peer review is regarded by most as a critical (if expensive) part of the publication process and is likely to endure. However, there is increased experimentation with the public peer-review. Nature Group tried (and dropped) a related system, but many open access advocates favour continued experimentation with new ways of assessing paper quality.

# Production and processing

Articles accepted for publication undergo the processes of:

- Copy-editing
- Typesetting
- Tagging for online delivery
- Printing
- Upload to journal hosting system

These processes have remained essentially stable for many years, but there is a new and growing emphasis on all-electronic workflows and production team now interacts far more directly with content to ensure it is fit and suitable for purpose. Examples include:

- Checking for plagiarism (CrossCheck software) increasingly important as the volume of authored content grows.
- Processing for publication ahead-of-print with electronic content now the default, it is important not to organize entire workflows around the periodic process of issue compilation.
- online production management where content being processed is stored remotely and is available to all parties to the production process.
- Integration of supplementary data sets

As new technologies develop it is likely that production staff will also have close involvement with semantic enrichment of content.

## CONTENT DISSEMINATION

# Sales and marketing

Has moved from a traditional print, telephone and face-to-face model, to new approaches relying on the harvesting and utilization of data held electronically:

- Email marketing
- Web marketing
- Harvesting of usage data from subscribers and non-subscribers alike.
- Consolidation of data from multiple sources

## Dissemination and access

Content is available in many different ways:

- Print
- Online
  - o Aggregated journals
  - o Stand alone journals
  - o Subject packages and subsets
  - o Pay-per-view indivual article, whole volumes and PPV credits
  - o Interlibrary loan
  - o Via mobile devices emerging as important in some disciplines

Standards have been developed to measure usage (COUNTER) and to manage multiple usage reports (SUSHI). As this data becomes easier to handle (and compare) usage will come to be one of the most important factors in determining renewal and cancellation.

## Open access

For centuries, the model whereby the author received a full range of publication services without charge, and the subscriber paid for access to content has operated without interruption. Now, however, the open access movement is promoting a range of alternative models whereby there is no subscription fee and the costs of publication fall on the author or funding agency:

- Green OA where authors may self-archive the post-acceptance, pre-production version of their article. There is usually no charge (GSL is a Green OA publisher).
- Gold where an author or funder pays to include an article in an OA journal in its full and final form.
- Hybrid models where Gold OA articles are included in a subscription-based journal, on payment of a fee (usually \$1500 \$3000). Where this is offered by publishers, take up is usually very low (2-5%).

The Gold OA model has enjoyed some growth since 2003, but the future is far from clear and it will ultimately be the author who decides where their interests lie. Interestingly, the model has come almost full circle and some OA publishers waive publication fees if an institution becomes a "member" (for which read "subscriber").

A more interesting the threatening model for the future is self publication. This will prove difficult until the peer review process can be accommodated, and the author is given sufficient tools to load content in a highly functional form, possibly to a free website. It will be worth monitoring Google etc on this front.

# Library aggregation

While many academic libraries still operate individually, there is an increasing trend to come together to exercise greater purchasing power. There are now over 350 such consortia, taking several forms:

- Tight consortia where procurement is for and on behalf of the whole consortia, and billing etc is done centrally
- Loose consortia where consortia members opt-in, but billing is still centralised
- Procurement consortia where the consortia negotiates reduced pricing, but inclusion is on an opt-in basis, and billing at an institutional level
- National consortia where government negotiators deal directly with publishers to agree a price for country-wide access.

National consortia are likely to be of growing importance in the coming year, but may constitute a double-edged sword – the prize may be high, but once a deal is done the national government and small publisher are partners of unequal weight – and power.

# Archives and repositories

In the past, print copies formed a durable and dispersed archive, ensuring long term preservation. In the digital world there have been challenges in ensuring the same degree of security, but various archive initiatives are in hand:

- LOCKSS
- CLOCKSS
- Portico

Libraries are increasingly insisting on inclusion in one or more of these archives before taking a subscription, and this will only become more important as libraries decline print in favour of online-only access.

In parallel to this there are growing numbers of increasingly well organized subject and institutional-based content repositories. Four or five years ago authors were posting their articles in these repositories under instruction from their employers, but they were sufficiently disorganized to prevent them competing with formal journal hosting arrangements. As they become more functional and better managed they may, in fact, form a significant competitive threat to publishers.

## **CONTENT ORGANISATION**

## Content aggregation

In the print world, libraries subscribed to the titles demanded by their faculty members, and built collections of separate titles. With journal available online, however, aggregated content is increasingly available:

- Single publishers aggregations eg Science Direct, Lyell Collection
- Subject-based aggregations eg GeoScienceWorld, BioOne
- Multi-publisher database aggregators eg EbscoHost, SwetsWise
- E-book aggregators eg Knovel, Gale, NetLibrary

Aggregated holdings are likely to become more important as online functionality starts to derive meaning from cross-article searching and content amalgamation.

# Semantic enrichment

The problem for the researcher is, that with the published output of research and available datasets growing exponentially, it becomes harder and harder to find content that is of real value. There is, however, an advantage in that the aggregation of content published should contain great and greater *meaning*.

Semantic enrichment is the process whereby author, publisher and online host come together to developing taxonomies, tagging and contextual hierarchies which can then be used to produce search results which are greater than the sum of the individual articles searched. It is seen as an important development and various publishers have already developed their own systems:

- Elsevier Illumin8
- Royal Society of Chemistry Project Prospect
- Nature Group Text Mining Interface

HighWire are working on this area at present.

#### Web 2.0

For several years the "social function" of online journal services has been trumpeted as the next big thing. Recent research, however, suggests that although scientists do use social media, it does not yet form a significant or serious element of the formal research publication process. Use is presently restricted to a level which does not contribute to the formal minutes of science.

This may change, and there are those who are active in encouraging the development of a more enabling online social infrastructure.

Neal Marriott 7<sup>th</sup> October 2010